arXiv:1102.5370v1 [math.AP] 25 Feb 2011

EXISTENCE THEORY FOR A POISSON-NERNST-PLANCK MODEL OF ELECTROPHORESIS [*]

L. BEDIN[†][‡] & M. THOMPSON [§]

25 February 2011

Abstract

A system modeling the electrophoretic motion of a charged rigid macromolecule immersed in a incompressible ionized fluid is considered. The ionic concentration is governing by the Nernst-Planck equation coupled with the Poisson equation for the electrostatic potential, Navier-Stokes and Newtonian equations for the fluid and the macromolecule dynamics, respectively. A local in time existence result for suitable weak solutions is established, following the approach of [15].

1 Introduction

Electrophoresis is the motion of charged colloidal particles or molecules through a solution under the action of an applied electrical field. This phenomenon is one of the most powerful analytical tools in colloidal science, being often used in the characterization of colloidal systems [34]. Modern applications include drug delivery and screening, manipulation of particles in micro-/nanofluidic systems, sequencing of genome of the organisms, forensic analysis, micro-chip design and others [8], [32], [20]. Despite the fact that the analytical description of this type of electrokinetic phenomenon is a difficulty task (due to the complicated interplay between hydrodynamic and electric effects [41]), there is a vast literature on the subject. The majority dealing with special problems like electrophoresis of bio-molecules with some geometric symmetry property or small surface potentials (see, for example, [1], [19], [24], [35], [38]). Few of these papers deal with the rigorous mathematical aspects of the models involved.

---

[*] *Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 35J60, 92C05, 35J50, 42B20

Key words: Electrophoresis, Poisson equation, Navier-Stokes equation, Nernst-Planck equation

[†] Research supported by FAPESC-4518/2008-7, Brasil

[‡] Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis-SC, Brasil, CEP 88040-900, E-mail address: luciano@mtm.ufsc.br

[§] Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre-RS, Brasil, CEP 91509-900, E-mail address: mark.thompson@ufrgs.br

In this paper we present a model of electrophoresis of a single particle immersed in a viscous and incompressible fluid (a ionic water solution). Unlike the models where the concentrations of charged particles range from colloidal to nano size (see [36]), we deal with the case where the colloidal particle is a very large rigid molecule and obeys the laws of classical mechanics. Also we consider the situation where the particle and fluid are contained in a bounded domain $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ which represents the enclosure of the system (a tube, in the capillary electrophoresis). These are fairly reasonable assumptions in a number of practical situations such us in the study of electrophoresis of proteins [12]. On the other hand, we assume that the particle is far from the boundary of $\mathcal{O}$. As a consequence we are able to analyze only the local motion of the particle, as we are considering the standard electrokinetics equations. In fact, in the standard models (see [41], [1], [24]), the analysis is restrict to a single particle that is suspended in a fluid which fills all the region in $\mathbb{R}^3$ exterior to the particle. This means that the boundaries are sufficiently far removed from the particle so that they have negligible effects on the electric and fluid velocity fields associated with the particle [23]. According to [37] when the geometry forces the mobile particle into close of proximity with a surface (which may itself be charged) complex phenomena arise and a more detailed analysis should be done.

Although we are interesting mostly in the local motion of the particle, we do not neglect the inertial terms in the Navier-Stokes equations for fluid velocity and pressure. The Reynolds number for fluid flows in a typical electrophoretic motion is small and many authors consider the Stokes equations instead the Navier-Stokes equations (see [41], [32], [3]). However, it is not clear to us under what circumstances the linear theory can be used. With respect to this issue Allison and Stigter [2] suggest that the use of linearization of the constitutive equations with respect to the perturbing electric/flow fields are allowed provided the perturbing fields are weak. Also we believe that the analysis of the non simplified model considered here can be given us a support from the treatment of more general problems where the inertial effects could not be negligible (see [19], [8]).

Another important aspect in the modeling of electrophoretic motion is the electrostatic potential. In more simplified models the total electrostatic potential of the system is given by the applied external electric field (see [3], [38]) and the local potential (due to particle charge distribution and ions) is neglect. On the other hand, the local electrostatic potential is usually modeled by the Poisson-Boltzman equation [5], [4], [7], [42]. This equation is derived from the assumption of thermodynamics equilibrium where the ionic distributions are not affected by fluid flows. According to [30], this is a reasonable assumption for the case of steady-state processes with stationary values of diffusive fluxes, but there are some important cases where convective transport of ions has nontrivial effects [27]. In this context, in the lines of [23] and [41], we consider a more convenient approach: the use of a convective-diffusion type equation, more precisely, the well known Nernst-Planck equation, for the ionic concentration and Poisson equation for the total electric potential. Moreover, we only impose $C^{2+\alpha}$ regularity on the domain occupied by the particle (without other geometric symmetry restrictions).

Some of the mathematical aspects of a electrophoresis model based on the Poisson-Boltzmann theory have been discussed by the authors in the papers [4] and [5]. However, at least to the knowledge of the authors, there are no rigorous mathematical results on the Poisson-Nernst-Planck model of electrophoresis of the rigid macromolecules. From the mathematical point of view, the main feature relies in the highly coupled equations which must be solved in time dependent domains. We establish the proof of a existence theorem (see Theorem 3.1 in Section 3) for appropriate weak solutions for this system. The proof is based on the approximation technique introduced in the references [14], [15] for the study of the motion of rigid bodies in viscous fluid. A sequence of approximate smooth solutions is construct and the solution is obtained as the limit of this sequence. Our scheme is local in time in the sense that the macromolecule does not touch the boundary of the enclosure in the time interval of existence. Employing a linearization and regularization procedure, this permits us to obtain suitable energy bounds for the electric potential, fluid velocity and ionic concentration (see Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.5). As a consequence, we establish special results on time compactness (see Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2) that give us strong convergence results for fluid velocity and ionic concentration. Then, the main result follows from the passage to the limit in the approximation equations (see Lemmas 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5) and is announced in Theorem 1.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the coupled system of governing equations; in the Section 3 we obtain formal energy estimates that give us a weak formulation for the system; in the Section 4 we construct a sequence of approximate solutions that are uniformly bounded in energy spaces; in the Section 5 we obtain appropriate compactness results that permit us to pass the limit the approximate solutions.

In a subsequent work we will investigate the effect of proximal boundaries in the electrophoresis of many particles and consider the possible contact between two particles or with the boundary, in the lines of [18].

2 Governing Equations

We assume that the solvent and macromolecule occupy a bounded open connected set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and the solvent contains J ionic species. At the initial time, the macromolecule occupies a compact region $\overline{K_0}$, where $K_0 \subset\subset \mathcal{O}$ is a open connected set such that

$$\gamma_0 := \operatorname{dist}(K_0, \partial\mathcal{O}) > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The fluid domain at the initial time is denoted by $\Omega_0 := \mathcal{O}\backslash\overline{K_0}$. We also assume that K_0 and Ω_0 are $C^{2+\alpha}$-domains, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and define $K_t = Q(t)K_0$ as the position of the particle at time t, $Q(t)$ is an affine isometry such that $Q(0) = I$. Also, let us denote $\Omega_t = \mathcal{O}\backslash\overline{K_t}$, i.e., the fluid domain at time t and assume (formally) that there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(t) := \mathrm{dist}(K_t, \partial\mathcal{O}) > \gamma, \quad 0 < \gamma < \gamma_0 \tag{2.2}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$.

It is important to remark that, although we have not imposed any symmetry hypothesis on K_0, the regularity imposed is not satisfactory in a large number of situations. In fact, the determination of the electrostatic interface in biological processes is not a trivial task. According to [11] (see also [12]), any model of molecular surface must follow, in some way, the boundary of the space from which other molecules are excluded. Usually, the molecular surface (the accessibility surface of the solvent) is taken to be the surface described by a point on the surface of an idealized spherical solvent probe as it is rolled around the solvated molecule. This lead us to a C^0 surface with the eventual presence of cusps and with multiple connected components. Accordingly, we are dealing with a idealized situation in order to obtain appropriate regularity results and *a priori* estimates for the approximate solutions of the system. However, we believe that this condition can be relaxed using a smoothness domain technique. We will discuss this issue in a subsequent paper.

If the dielectric constant of the particle and of the solvent are $\kappa_1 > 0$ and $\kappa_2 > 0$, respectively, the electrostatic potential ψ, for each $t \in [0, T]$, is governed by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}, t)\nabla\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)) = -4\pi e \sum_{i=1}^{J} q_i(\mathbf{x}, t) - 4\pi\rho(\mathbf{x}, t), \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$q_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} Z_i \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_t, \\ 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in K_t; \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

$\mathbf{k} : \mathcal{O} \times [0, T] \to \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is given by $k_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta_{ij}\kappa(\mathbf{x}, t)$, with

$$\kappa(\mathbf{x}, t) := \delta_{ij}(\kappa_1 \mathcal{I}_{K_t} + \kappa_2 \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_t})(\mathbf{x}, t);$$

e is the electron charge, Z_i and $\mathcal{N}_i$ are the valence and concentration of the ith ionic species, respectively. Moreover,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \rho_0(Q^{-1}(t)\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x} \in K_t, \\ 0, \ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_t, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where ρ_0 is a fixed charge distribution on K_0 such that $\mathrm{supp}\rho_0 \subset\subset K_0$. Note that (2.5) implies that the fixed charges of the particle are invariant under rigid motion. As a consequence, we have the conservation of total fixed charges

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \rho d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \tag{2.6}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. These assumptions on ρ corresponds, of course, to an idealized situation. In fact, the determination of the properties of fixed charges in colloidal molecules is a very difficulty task (see [12], [28]).

We assume transmission boundary conditions for ψ

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(\mathbf{x},t) &= \psi_1(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial K_t, && (2.7)\\ (\kappa_1 \partial_\nu \psi_1 - \kappa_2 \partial_\nu \psi_2)(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial K_t, && (2.8)\\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x},t) &= \Psi(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathcal{O}, && (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu(.,t)$ is the exterior normal to ∂K_t and Ψ represents a stationary electrostatic potential on $\partial\mathcal{O}$, $\psi_1 = \psi|_{K_t}$, $\psi_2 = \psi|_{\Omega_t}$.

The evolution of $\mathcal{N}_i$, for each $i \in \{1,\dots,J\}$, is described by the Nernst-Planck equation

$$\partial_t \mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \cdot \left(-\mathcal{N}_i \mathbf{v}_f + d_i \nabla \mathcal{N}_i + \frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \mathcal{N}_i \nabla \psi_2 \right)(\mathbf{x},t),\ (\mathbf{x},t) \in \Omega_t \times (0,T), \tag{2.10}$$

where d_i is the ionic diffusion coefficient of the ith ionic species, $\mathbf{v}_f$ is the solvent velocity field, κ_B is the Boltzmann constant and θ is the temperature of the system (which we suppose constant). It is important to remark that, for obvious physical reasons, we seek non-negative functions $\mathcal{N}_i$ in $\Omega_t \times [0,T]$. The boundary conditions correspond to no ion diffusion and no ion conduction through the boundaries (see [23]) are given by

$$\left(\partial_\nu \mathcal{N}_i + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \mathcal{N}_i\ \partial_\nu \psi_2 \right)(\mathbf{x},t) = 0,\ (\mathbf{x},t) \in (\partial\mathcal{O} \cup \partial K_t) \times (0,T). \tag{2.11}$$

The system is complemented with the initial condition

$$\mathcal{N}_i(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathcal{N}_{i,0}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0, \text{ a. e. } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_0. \tag{2.12}$$

The solvent velocity field is governed by the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible flows

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\mu}_f(\partial_t \mathbf{v}_f + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_f \otimes \mathbf{v}_f)(\mathbf{x},t) + \\ &\qquad - \eta \Delta \mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t) + \nabla p(\mathbf{x},t) = \overline{\mu}_f \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x},t),\ (\mathbf{x},t) \in \Omega_t \times (0,T) \qquad (2.13)\\ &\qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t) = 0,\ (\mathbf{x},t) \in \Omega_t \times (0,T), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x},t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{J} e(q_i \nabla \psi_2)(\mathbf{x},t) \tag{2.14}$$

is the electrical forcing term, p is the pressure, $\eta > 0$ and $\overline{\mu}_f > 0$ are the viscosity and the mass density of the fluid, respectively.

Let denote by $\mathbf{x}_c(t)$, $\mathbf{v}_c(t)$ and $\mathbf{w}(t)$ the center of mass, the translational velocity and the rotation vector of the particle in the time t, respectively. If $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{v}_p$ and $\overline{\mu}_p > 0$ are the symmetric inertial matrix, velocity and the mass density of the particle, we have

$$\mathbf{y}^T \mathcal{A} \mathbf{y} = \overline{\mu}_p \int_{K_0} |\mathbf{y} \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c(0))|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_p(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{v}_c(t) + \mathbf{w}(t) \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c(t)), \quad (\mathbf{x},t) \in K_t \times (0,T). \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.14), the electrical forcing term $\mathbf{F}$ can be written in the divergence form $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \cdot \sigma_E$, where $\sigma_E = ((\sigma_E)_{ij})$ is the well known electrostatic tensor,

$$(\sigma_E)_{ij} := \frac{\kappa_2}{4\pi}\left(\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}(\nabla \psi_2)^2\right)$$

(see [41]). If M is the mass of the particle, the evolution law for its motion is given by the Newtonian dynamic equations

$$M\frac{d\mathbf{v}_c}{dt}(t) = \int_{\partial K_t} \sigma_H(\mathbf{x},t)\cdot \nu(\mathbf{x},t)ds(\mathbf{x}) + \int_{\partial K_t} \sigma_E(\mathbf{x},t)\cdot \nu(\mathbf{x},t)ds(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}\frac{d\mathbf{w}}{dt}(t) = &\int_{\partial K_t} (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_c(t)) \times (\sigma_H \cdot \nu)(\mathbf{x},t)ds(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{w}\times(\mathcal{A}\cdot\mathbf{w})+ \\ &+\int_{\partial K_t} (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_c(t)) \times (\sigma_E \cdot \nu)(\mathbf{x},t)ds(\mathbf{x}),\end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where σ_H is the stress tensor of the fluid. If we set $D(\mathbf{v}_f) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v}_f + (\nabla \mathbf{v}_f)^T)$, then

$$\sigma_H(\mathbf{x},t) = 2\eta D(\mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t)) - p(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{I}.$$

We assume the homogeneous Dirichlet condition for $\mathbf{v}_f$ on $\partial\mathcal{O}$ and require the velocity and normal stress to be continuous at the interface between the solid body and fluid

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t) &= \mathbf{0},\ (\mathbf{x},t)\in\partial\mathcal{O}\times(0,T), && (2.19)\\ \mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t) &= \mathbf{v}_p(\mathbf{x},t)\in\partial K_t\times(0,T), && (2.20)\\ ((\sigma_H &+ \sigma_E)\cdot\nu)(\mathbf{x},t) = (\Sigma\cdot\nu)(\mathbf{x},t),\ (\mathbf{x},t)\in\partial K_t\times(0,T), && (2.21)\end{aligned}$$

where Σ is the Cauchy stress tensor in the solid. Also, we have the following initial conditions for the velocities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_c(0) &= \mathbf{v}_{c,0}, \quad \mathbf{w}(0) = \mathbf{w}_0,\\ \mathbf{v}_p(\mathbf{x},0) &= \mathbf{v}_{p,0}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{v}_{c,0} + \mathbf{w}_0 \times (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_c(0)),\ \mathbf{x}\in K_0,\\ \mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},0) &= \mathbf{v}_{f,0}(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\Omega_0,\\ \mathbf{v}_{f,0}(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{v}_{p,0}(\mathbf{x}),\ \mathbf{x}\in\partial K_0.\end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

In the "zeta" potential approach for electrophoresis modeling, (2.20) is replaced by a "slip" boundary condition on $\mathbf{v}_f$: a nonlinear Dirichlet condition that depends on ψ and it is based on a Prandtl boundary layer approximation (see [3], [4]). This approximation is relatively accurate when the Debye screening length of the macromolecule is much smaller comparable with its radius of curvature [38]. Otherwise, in the more realistic cases, this approach is no longer correct [23].

3 Weak Formulation and Main Result

We can obtain a weak formulation for the problem (2.3)-(2.22) if we take into account the energy framework of the system. First, we need to introduce a global formulation for (2.13), (2.17)-(2.18). Following [14], we define in $\mathcal{O} \times [0,T]$ the Eulerian densities

$$\mu_p(\mathbf{x},t) = \overline{\mu}_p \mathcal{I}_{K_t}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mu_f(\mathbf{x},t) = \overline{\mu}_f \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_t}(\mathbf{x},t),$$

the global density $\mu = \mu_p + \mu_f$ and the global velocity

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_p(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{x} \in K_t, \\ \mathbf{v}_f(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{if} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_t. \end{cases}$$

In view of mass conservation, μ must satisfies

$$\partial_t \mu + \nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O} \times (0,T))$. Also, in terms of the Eulerian quantities, (2.13), (2.17) and (2.18) can be expressed, in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O} \times (0,T))^3$, as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\mu_f \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\mu_f \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_f} \nabla \cdot (\mu_f \sigma_H) + \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_p} \Sigma \cdot \nabla \mu_p + \nabla \cdot (\mu_f \sigma_E), \\ \partial_t(\mu_p \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\mu_p \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) &= \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_p} \nabla \cdot (\mu_p \Sigma) - \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_f} \sigma_H \cdot \nabla \mu_f - \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_f} \sigma_E \cdot \nabla \mu_f, \end{aligned}$$

where we have consider the balance the momentum in the fluid and particle (see [14]). Summing the above equations and using (2.21) we obtain the global formulation for (2.13), (2.17)-(2.18),

$$\partial_t(\mu \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_f} \mu_f \sigma_H + \frac{1}{\overline{\mu}_p} \mu_p \Sigma + \mu_f \sigma_E \right) \tag{3.24}$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O} \times (0,T))^3$. Furthermore, from (2.20), (2.16), (2.19) and (2.22) it is clear that, in the sense of distributions in $\mathcal{O} \times (0,T)$,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \mu_p D(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}, \tag{3.25}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) &= \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) := (\mathcal{I}_{K_0} \mathbf{v}_{p,0})(\mathbf{x}) + (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_0} \mathbf{v}_{f,0})(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O} \\ \mu(\mathbf{x},0) &= \mu_0(\mathbf{x}) := \overline{\mu}_f \mathcal{I}_{K_0}(\mathbf{x}) + \overline{\mu}_p \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_0}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in} \quad \partial\mathcal{O} \times (0,T). \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Formally, taking the inner product of (3.24) with $\mathbf{u}$, integrating by parts, using (3.25) and (3.23) we obtain, for all $t \in (0,T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} E[\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, \psi](t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)(t) + \\ &+ \frac{\eta}{\overline{\mu}_f} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_f D\mathbf{u} : D\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \right)(t) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_f \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{u} d\mathbf{x} \right)(t) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Note that $E = E_k + E_d + E_p$, where

$$\begin{aligned} E_k[\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, \psi](t) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)(t) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_f |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (M |\mathbf{u}_c(t)|^2 + \mathbf{w}(t)^T \mathcal{A} \mathbf{w}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

is the kinetic energy at time t,

$$E_d[\mu,\mathbf{u},\mathcal{N}_1,\dots,\mathcal{N}_J,\psi](t)=\frac{\eta}{\overline{\mu}_f}\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\mu_f D\mathbf{u}:D\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{x}d\tau$$

is the viscous dissipation and

$$E_p[\mu,\mathbf{u},\mathcal{N}_1,\dots,\mathcal{N}_J,\psi](t)=-\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\mu_f\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{x}$$

is the total work of the electrical force. Then (3.27) corresponds to the energy conservation of the system. Moreover, integrating (3.27) with respect to t we obtain the energy (mechanical) bound

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\mu|\mathbf{u}|^2d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+\eta\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2d\mathbf{x}\le\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}\mu_f\mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{u}d\mathbf{x}d\tau+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{O}}\mu_0|\mathbf{u}_0|^2d\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.28}$$

As a consequence, recalling that there exists a positive constant $C_*=C_*(\mathcal{O})$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\le C_*\|\nabla\mathbf{u}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},$$

it is easy to obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\mathbf{u}|^2d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2d\mathbf{x}\le\frac{4\overline{\mu}_{max}^2C_*^2}{\overline{\mu}_{min}^2}\int_0^t\|\mathbf{F}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_\tau}^2d\tau+\\+\frac{2\overline{\mu}_{max}}{\overline{\mu}_{min}}\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\mathbf{u}_0|^2d\mathbf{x},\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $\overline{\mu}_{min}=\min\{2\eta,\overline{\mu}_p,\overline{\mu}_f\}$ and $\overline{\mu}_{max}=\max\{\overline{\mu}_p,\overline{\mu}_f\}$.

Now, supposing that $\Psi\in H^1(\partial\mathcal{O})$, we define

$$\mathbb{L}_\Psi=\{\widehat{\Psi}\in H^1(\mathcal{O}),\ \widehat{\Psi}|_{\partial\mathcal{O}}=\Psi\}. \tag{3.30}$$

If we take the product of (2.3) with $\psi-\widehat{\Psi}$, where $\widehat{\Psi}\in\mathbb{L}_\Psi$, we obtain, using (2.7) and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa|\nabla\psi|^2d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)-4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J\left(\int_{\Omega_t}Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)-4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)\\&=-4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)-4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J\left(\int_{\Omega_t}Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t),\end{aligned}$$

for each $t\in[0,T]$. Using Cauchy and Young inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa|\nabla\psi|^2d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)-4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J\left(\int_{\Omega_t}Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+\\&-4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)\le\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa|\nabla\widehat{\Psi}|^2d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+\\&-4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J\left(\int_{\Omega_t}Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)-4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t),\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

for each $t \in [0,T]$. The left side of (3.31) corresponds to the electrostatic energy contribution (the negative of the free energy according to [33]) which we denote by E_{el}; the first term in E_{el} corresponds to the self-energy of the electric field and the next two terms are the electrostatic energies of the ions and fixed charges (see [12], [28], [33]). As a consequence of (3.31), for *known* $\mathcal{N}_i$ and $\mathbf{u}$ and each $t \in [0,T]$,

$$E_{el}[\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, \psi](t) \leq E_{el}[\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, \widehat{\Psi}](t), \tag{3.32}$$

for all $\widehat{\Psi} \in \mathbb{L}_\Psi$.

Note that (2.10) (as well as (3.23)) corresponds to a conservation type equation. In fact, from the transport theorem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\Omega_t} \mathcal{N}_i d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \partial_t \mathcal{N}_i d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_t} \mathcal{N}_i \mathbf{v}_f \cdot \nu ds\right)(t),$$

then, integrating (2.10) in $\Omega_t \times [0,t]$, using Gauss theorem, (2.11), (2.19) and (2.12), we obtain the molar conservation for the ith ionic species,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_t} \mathcal{N}_i d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{N}_{i,0} d\mathbf{x}, \ \forall t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.33}$$

In particular, using (2.6), we have the total charge conservation for the system.

From the above discussion, in particular, from (3.32) and (3.27), we have a natural weak formulation of the above system (see Definition 3.1 below), which is obtained from the following minimization problem with constraints: Find $(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, \psi)$ (in appropriate functional spaces) that minimizes the energy E in $\mathcal{O} \times (0,T)$ and such that $\psi(.,t)$ minimizes $E_{el}[\mu, \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J, .](t)$ in $\mathbb{L}_\Psi$, for each $t \in [0,T]$; (2.10), (2.11)-(2.12), (3.25)-(3.26) are the constraints; furthermore, the pressure p and the Cauchy stress tensor Σ are the Lagrange multipliers of the problem.

The additional hypotheses on the data are

H $\rho_0 \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathrm{supp}\rho_0 \subset\subset K_0$, $\Psi \in H^1(\partial\mathcal{O})$, $\mathbf{v}_{f,0} \in L^2(\Omega_0)^3$, $\mathbf{v}_{f,0}|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = \mathbf{0}$ $\mathcal{N}_{i,0} \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{N}_{i,0} \subset\subset \Omega_0$, $\mathcal{N}_{i,0} \geq 0$ a. e. in Ω_0.

Despite the fact that the ions are concentrated very close to ∂K_t, the hypotheses on the support of ρ_0 and $\mathcal{N}_{i,0}$ are reasonable as there is an ion exclusion region close to the boundary of the molecule [12].

In order to obtain the suitable functional spaces of the solutions, we observe that (3.28) and (3.29) can give us H^1-bounds for $\mathbf{u}$ and ψ. However, we need uniform L^2-estimates for $\mathbf{F}$ and for $\mathcal{N}_i$. As we will see, for small T (depending on the initial data) uniform $L^4(\Omega_t)$-estimates for $\nabla\psi$ and $\mathcal{N}_i$ can be obtained; as a consequence, we can estimate $\mathbf{F}$ and the conduction term in the weak formulation for (2.10).

We need to define the following functional spaces

$$L^2(0,T; H^1(\Omega_t)) = \{v \in L^2(\widehat{\Omega}_T), \ \nabla v \in L^2(\widehat{\Omega}_T)^3\},$$

$$L^\infty(0,T; L^p(\Omega_t)) = \{v \in L^p(\widehat{\Omega}_T), \ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0,T)} \|v(.,t)\|_{0,p,\Omega_t} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\widehat{\Omega}_T = \bigcup_{t\in(0,T)} \{t\} \times \Omega_t.$$

Definition 3.1. *Let us to assume that* K_0 *and* Ω_0 *are* $C^{2+\alpha}$*-domains,* $0<\alpha<1$*,* ρ *is invariant under rigid motion (see (2.5)), hypothesis* $\mathbf{H}$ *and (2.1). Then* $(\mu, \mathbf{u}, \psi, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_J)$ *is a weak solution of (3.23), (3.24), (3.25)-(3.26), coupled with (2.3), (2.7)-(2.9), (2.10), (2.11)-(2.12) in* $(0,T)$ *if it satisfies*

1. $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))$, $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))^3$,
   $\psi \in L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{O}))$, $\nabla\psi \in L^\infty(0,T;L^4(\Omega_t))^3$,
   $\mathcal{N}_i \in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega_t)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_t))$, $\forall i \in \{1,\ldots,J\}$.
2. *For* $\mathbf{F}$ *given in (2.14),* $(\mathbf{u},\mu)$ *satisfies*

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\mu\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\mathbf{w} + \mu\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u} : D(\mathbf{w}) - \eta D(\mathbf{u}) : D(\mathbf{w}) + \mu_f \mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{w})\, d\mathbf{x}d\tau + \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_0\mathbf{u}_0\cdot\mathbf{w}_0 d\mathbf{x} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{w}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t), \tag{3.34}$$

   for all $\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{S}$ *and a.e.* $t\in(0,T)$*, where*

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{w}\in H^1(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))^3 / \mathbf{w}(.,t)\in S(t),\ \forall t\in(0,T)\}$$

   and $S(t) = \{\mathbf{w}\in H^1_0(\mathcal{O})^3/\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}=0,\ \mu_p D(\mathbf{w}) = 0\}$*. Furthermore,*

$$\partial_t\mu + \nabla\cdot(\mu\mathbf{u}) = 0,\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)), \tag{3.35}$$

$$\mu_p D(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))^3. \tag{3.36}$$

3. *For a. e.* $t\in(0,T)$*,* $\psi(.,t)$ *is the solution of the*

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa|\nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) - 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J \left(\int_{\Omega_t} Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + - 4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\psi d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = -4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\rho\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + - 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J\left(\int_{\Omega_t} Z_i\mathcal{N}_i\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla\widehat{\Psi}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t), \tag{3.37}$$

   for all $\widehat{\Psi}\in\mathbb{L}_\Psi = \{\widehat{\Psi}\in H^1(\mathcal{O}),\ \widehat{\Psi}|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = \Psi\}$.
4. *For each* $i\in\{1,\ldots,J\}$*,* $\mathcal{N}_i$ *satisfies*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_t}\mathcal{N}_i\varsigma d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\varsigma\,(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_i)d\mathbf{x}d\tau + + d_i\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\left(\nabla\mathcal{N}_i + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B\theta}\mathcal{N}_i\nabla\psi\right)\cdot\nabla\varsigma\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau + - \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\mathcal{N}_i\ \varsigma_t\ d\mathbf{x}\,d\tau = \left(\int_{\Omega_0}\mathcal{N}_{i,0}\ \varsigma_0 d\mathbf{x}\right) \tag{3.38}$$

   for a.e. $t\in(0,T)$ *and for all* $\varsigma\in H^1(\widehat{\Omega}_T)$*. Furthermore,* $\mathcal{N}_i\geq 0$ *a.e. in* $\widehat{\Omega}_T$ *and* $\left(\int_{\Omega_t}\mathcal{N}_i d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = \int_{\Omega_0}\mathcal{N}_{i,0}d\mathbf{x}$*, for a.e.* $t\in(0,T)$*.*

The main result of the paper is given below

Theorem 3.1. *There exists* $T^* > 0$ *and a weak solution of (3.23)-(3.26), coupled with (2.3)-(2.5), (2.7)-(2.12) in* $(0, T^*)$. *Moreover,*

$$T^* = \inf\{t > 0; \operatorname{dist}(K_t, \partial\mathcal{O}) > 0\}.$$

As remarked in the introduction of the present work, the strategy of the proof follows the lines of the results in references [14] and [15] for the motion of rigid bodies in incompressible fluids. It is based on the solution of an approximated system, which give us an approximate sequence of solutions $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mu_n, \psi_n, \mathcal{N}_{1,n}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{J,n})$ for (3.34)-(3.38). The solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \psi, \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, N_J)$ is built up as a limit of these approximations.

The new feature here is the coupling between (3.34)-(3.38) which lead us to consider an appropriate fixed-point schema in order to construct the approximate sequence. The existence results for the Lagrangian version of the parabolic problem (3.38) depend on the suitable estimates on the term containing $\nabla\psi_2$ (see Lemma 4.3). Then, the crucial point of the proof is the obtention of the uniform L^4-estimates for $\nabla\psi_2$ (see Lemma 4.1 below), in the sense that the bound does not depend on the particle motion. Using results on singular integral operator and elliptic regularity, this is possible if we assume that (2.2) is valid.

4 Approximate solutions

Let us fix $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$. We begin with a technical result

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose* $t > 0$ *and* $Q(t)$ *an affine isometry such that* $Q(0) = I$ *and* $K_t = Q(t)K_0$, $\Omega_t = \mathcal{O}\backslash\overline{K_t}$ *satisfy (2.2). Consider the situation in which*

$$-4\pi e \sum_{i=1}^{J} q_i(\mathbf{x}, t) - 4\pi\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

is replaced by $f(., t) \in L^2(\mathcal{O})$ *and* Ψ *is replaced by* $g \in H^1(\partial\mathcal{O})$. *Then the problem (3.37) has a unique solution* $\psi(., t) \in H^1(\mathcal{O})$ *that satisfies*

$$\max\{\|\nabla\psi_1(., t)\|_{0,4,K_t}, \|\nabla\psi_2(., t)\|_{0,4,\Omega_t}\} \leq C_1(\|f(., t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \|g\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}), \tag{4.39}$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\mathcal{O}, K_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \gamma)$. *If,* $f(., t) \in C^\alpha(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ *and* $g \in C^{2+\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{O})$, *we have*

$$(\psi_1(., t), \psi_2(., t)) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{K_t}) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_t}). \tag{4.40}$$

Proof. From a theorem due to Stampacchia (see [6]) it is a routine to check that there exists an unique solution in $H^1(\mathcal{O})$ for the problem (3.37). Let us to extend f to be zero outside of $\mathcal{O}$. Then, if $\omega(\mathbf{x}, t) = -(G * f)(\mathbf{x}, t)$ where G is the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega(., t) \in H^2(\mathcal{O})$,

$$\Delta\omega(\mathbf{x}, t) = f(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \text{a. e.} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.41}$$

and there exists $C = C(\mathcal{O})$ such that

$$\|\omega(.,t)\|_{2,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq C\|f(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}. \tag{4.42}$$

For the above results see Theorem 9.9 in [21].

We define $B_\gamma = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}, 0 < \operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial\mathcal{O}) < \gamma/2\}$ and consider the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Phi(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in B_\gamma, \\ \Phi(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial B_\gamma \backslash \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ \Phi(\mathbf{x},t) &= \kappa_2 g(\mathbf{x}) - \kappa_2^{-1}\omega(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial\mathcal{O}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

From well known results (see Theorem 2.2 in Chapter 4 in [29]), this problem has a unique solution

$$\Phi(.,t) \in H^{3/2}(B_\gamma) \tag{4.44}$$

that satisfies

$$\|\Phi(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq C(\|g\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}} + \|\omega(.,t)\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}), \tag{4.45}$$

where $C = C(\mathcal{O}, \kappa_2, \gamma)$ and we have extended Φ to be zero in $\mathcal{O}\backslash\overline{B_\gamma}$.

Now, let us to consider $0 < \sigma < \gamma/4$ and define the set

$$A_\sigma(t) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_t,\ 0 < \operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial K_t) < \sigma\}.$$

Note that, from (2.2), we have $\operatorname{dist}(A_\sigma(t), B_\gamma) > \gamma/4$. We also define

$$\widetilde{\omega}(\xi,t) = \omega(Q(t)\xi,t),\ \forall\xi \in \overline{K_0}$$

and consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\widetilde{\phi}_1(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad \xi \in K_0, \\ \Delta\widetilde{\phi}_2(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad \xi \in A_\sigma(0), \\ (\kappa_2^{-1}\widetilde{\phi}_2 - \kappa_1^{-1}\widetilde{\phi}_1)(\xi,t) &= (\kappa_1^{-1} - \kappa_2^{-1})\widetilde{\omega}(\xi,t), \quad \xi \in \partial K_0, \\ (\partial_\nu\widetilde{\phi}_2 - \partial_\nu\widetilde{\phi}_1)(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad \xi \in \partial K_0, \\ \widetilde{\phi}_2(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad \xi \in \partial A_\sigma(0)\backslash\partial K_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

This problem has a unique solution

$$(\widetilde{\phi}_1(.,t), \widetilde{\phi}_2(.,t)) \in H^{3/2}(K_0) \times H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\overline{K_0}), \tag{4.47}$$

where we have extended $\widetilde{\phi}$ to be zero outside $\overline{A_\sigma(0) \cup K_0}$. In fact this follows from suitable modifications in the arguments of the Theorem 7.2 in the reference [40] (see also [22]). Furthermore, from the results on singular integral operators of [10] and [40] (for related results see [4]), there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on K_0, κ_1, κ_2 and γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\phi}_1(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,K_0} &\leq C\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|_{1,2,\partial K_0}, \\ \|\widetilde{\phi}_2(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\overline{K}_0} &\leq C\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|_{1,2,\partial K_0}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Now, if we define $\phi_i(\mathbf{x},t) = \widetilde{\phi}_i(Q^{-1}(t)\mathbf{x},t)$, $i = 1, 2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_1(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in K_t,\\
\Delta\phi_2(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in A_\sigma(t),\\
(\kappa_2^{-1}\phi_2 - \kappa_1^{-1}\phi_1)(\mathbf{x},t) &= (\kappa_1^{-1} - \kappa_2^{-1})\omega(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial K_t,\\
(\partial_\nu\phi_2 - \partial_\nu\phi_1)(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial K_t,\\
\phi_2(\mathbf{x},t) &= 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial A_\sigma(t)\backslash\partial K_t.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

This follows from an elementary calculation using the properties of $Q(t)$. We claim that

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \psi_1(\mathbf{x},t) = \kappa_1^{-1}(\phi_1 + \omega + \Phi)(\mathbf{x},t), & \mathbf{x} \in K_t,\\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x},t) = \kappa_2^{-1}(\phi_2 + \omega + \Phi)(\mathbf{x},t), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_t. \end{array} \right. \tag{4.50}$$

In fact, the transmission boundary conditions (2.7)-(2.9) are satisfied in the sense of traces, in particular, (2.7) implies that $\psi(.,t) \in H^1(\mathcal{O})$; also it it easy to check that (3.37) is valid. The result then follows from the uniqueness of the problem (3.37). Now, note that,

$$\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\omega}(\xi,t) \cdot \nabla_\zeta\widetilde{\omega}(\zeta,t) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\omega(\mathbf{x},t) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\omega(\mathbf{y},t), \tag{4.51}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = Q(t)\xi$, $\mathbf{y} = Q(t)\zeta$, $\forall\xi,\zeta \in \overline{K_0}$. Then, if we consider the Slobodetskii norm

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|^2_{3/2,2,K_0} = \int_{K_0} \widetilde{\omega}^2(\xi,t)d\xi + \int_{K_0} |\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\omega}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi +\\
+ \int_{K_0}\int_{K_0} \frac{|\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\omega}(\xi,t) - \nabla_\zeta\widetilde{\omega}(\zeta,t)|^2}{|\xi-\zeta|^4} d\xi d\zeta,
\end{aligned}$$

it is easy to check that

$$\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|^2_{3/2,2,K_0} = \|\omega(.,t)\|^2_{3/2,2,K_t}. \tag{4.52}$$

Henceforth, from (4.51), standard Sobolev embedding, (4.48), the trace theorem, (4.52) and (4.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\overline{K_t}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\phi_2(\mathbf{x},t)|^4 d\xi\right)^{1/4} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\overline{K_0}} |\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\phi}_2(\xi,t)|^4 d\xi\right)^{1/4}\\
&\leq C\|\widetilde{\phi}_2(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\overline{K_0}} \leq C\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|_{1,2,\partial K_0}\\
&\leq C\|\widetilde{\omega}(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,K_0} = C\|\omega(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,K_t}\\
&\leq C\|\omega(.,t)\|_{3/2,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq C\|f(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.53}$$

where $C = C(K_0,\mathcal{O})$. Similarly,

$$\|\nabla\phi_1(.,t)\|^2_{0,4,K_t} \leq C\|f(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}. \tag{4.54}$$

Consequently, (4.39) follows from (4.50), (4.45), the trace theorem, Sobolev embedding, (4.42), (4.53) and (4.54).

Now, let us to suppose that $f(.,t) \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ and consider the extension of $f(.,t)$ to $\mathbb{R}^3$ (until denoted by f) such that $f(.,t) \in C_0^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, we have $\omega(.,t) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{O})$ (see Lemma 4.4 in [21]). If $g \in C^{2+\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{O})$, from classical results,

$$(\phi_1(.,t), \phi_2(.,t)) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{K_t}) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash K_t)$$

(see Theorem 2.1, Chapter 14 in [26]) and

$$\Phi(.,t) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{B_\gamma})$$

(see Theorem 6.14 in [21]). Hence (4.40) follows from (4.50). □

In what follows we describe how to construct the sequence of approximations. We adapt the proof in [15]. The idea is to introduce an approximation scheme which consists in solving a system of regularized equations: an "almost" linear problem related to the velocity field as well as appropriate linear problems for $\mathcal{N}_i$ and ψ. The existence of these regularized solutions is obtained from the use of a fixed point type theorem. As described below, this is done in small time intervals, chosen in a such way that the advecting vector field is close to the identity, (2.2) is valid in each time interval, and using a Lagrangian Galerkin type method for the linear problems related to $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathcal{N}_i$ (a similar approach may be seen in the study of the free surface problems [39]).

Let us consider

$$L_2 > \frac{1}{d_{min}^{1/2}} \max\{\|\mathcal{N}_{1,0}\|_{0,2,\Omega_0}, \dots, \|\mathcal{N}_{J,0}\|_{0,2,\Omega_0}\}, \tag{4.55}$$

where $d_{min} = \min\{1/2, d_1/8, \dots, d_J/8\}$ and

$$A := \frac{32e^2 d_{max} Z_{max}^2 C_2}{9\kappa_B^2 \theta^2 d_{min}} (L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}^2), \tag{4.56}$$

where $C_2 = 2C_1^2 \max\{32\pi^2 e^2 \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2, 32\pi^2\}$, $d_{max} = \max\{d_1, \dots, d_J\}$ and $Z_{max} = \max\{|Z_1|, \dots, |Z_J|\}$.

From the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a positive constant $C_{**} = C_{**}(\Omega_0)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{0,4,\Omega_0} \le C_{**} \|f\|_{1,2,\Omega_0}. \tag{4.57}$$

Let us define $L_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_1^2 > \frac{8\overline{\mu}_{max}^2 C_*^2 C_2 C_{**}^2 e^2 L_2^2 \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2}{\overline{\mu}_{min}^2} (L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}^2) + \\ + \frac{2\overline{\mu}_{max}}{\overline{\mu}_{min}} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |\mathbf{u}_0|^2 d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

and

$$0 < T < \min\left\{\frac{\gamma - \gamma_0}{L_1}, \frac{1}{4A^2}\right\}. \tag{4.59}$$

Following [15] we observe that it is possible to show the existence of a homeomorphism Θ from the space of incompressible vector fields

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T; H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^\infty(0,T; L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3$$

and which corresponds to a rigid motions in K_t into the representation space

$$Y_T = C^{0,1}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3)\times\{\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_0))^3 \cap L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega_0))^3,\ \nabla\cdot\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} = 0\}.$$

Let us also define $W_T = L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_0))$. Here we will consider the natural corresponding norms in Y_T and W_T. Now, using standard regularization techniques, we consider

- $\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon} \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{O})^3$ such that $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and corresponds to a rigid motion in K_0; furthermore, $\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon}$ converges to $\mathbf{u}_0$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O})^3$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.
- $\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$, supp$\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon} \subset\subset \Omega_0$, $\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon} \geq 0$ in Ω_0, $\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon}$ converges to $\mathcal{N}_{i,0}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O})$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.
- $\Psi_\epsilon \in C^{2+\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{O})$, Ψ_ϵ converges to Ψ in $H^1(\partial\mathcal{O})$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.
- $\rho_{0,\epsilon} \in C_0^\alpha(K_0)$, $\rho_{0,\epsilon}$ converges to ρ_0 in $L^2(K_0)$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

Also we consider, for each $f \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))$, $r_\epsilon(f) \in L^\infty(0,T;C^\alpha(\overline{\mathcal{O}}))$ such that

$$\|r_\epsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))}, \tag{4.60}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $r_\epsilon(f_\epsilon)$ converges to f in $L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))$ with f_ϵ converging to f in $L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))$ as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

Let us also consider, for $f \in L^2(0,T)$,

$$[f]^\epsilon(t) = \int_0^T g_\epsilon(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau, \tag{4.61}$$

where $\{g_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is a family of regularizing kernels with respect to time such that $[f]^\epsilon(.) \in C^\infty[0,T]$. Furthermore, if $f \in L^4(0,T)$

$$\|\ [f]^\epsilon\ \|_{0,4,(0,T)} \leq \|f\|_{0,4,(0,T)} \tag{4.62}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $[f_\epsilon]^\epsilon$ converges to $[f]$ in $L^2(0,T)$ with f_ϵ converging to f in $L^2(0,T)$.

Now, as in [15], for any

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{x}_c(t), \theta(t), \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}) \in Y_T,$$

we consider the incompressible field

$$\mathbf{v} = \Theta(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3$$

and its regularized version $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon = \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\mathbf{v})$, where $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon$ is a regularization operator (see [14]), such that $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\mathbf{v})$ is analytical in time and smooth in space (in particular, Lipschitz in space), $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon) \to \mathbf{v}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))^3$ if $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon \to \mathbf{v}$ in $L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))^3$, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ is divergence free in $\mathcal{O}$ and corresponds to a rigid motion in the particle domains $K_{\epsilon,t} = \{X_\epsilon(\xi,0,t),\ \xi \in K_0\}$, where X_ϵ is the Lagrangian flow of $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$: for each $0 \leq s \leq T$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}X_\epsilon(\xi,s,t) = \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(\xi,s,t),t),\ 0 \leq t \leq T,\ t \neq s \\ &\quad X_\epsilon(\xi,s,s) = \xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

Also, we denote, for each $t \in [0,T]$, $\Omega_{\epsilon,t} = \mathcal{O}\backslash\overline{K_{\epsilon,t}}$. From the construction of Θ (see [15]), if $\mathbf{v} = \Theta(\overline{\mathbf{v}})$ for some $\overline{\mathbf{v}} \in Y_T$ and $\|\overline{\mathbf{v}}\|_{Y_T} \leq L_1$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3} \leq L_1 \tag{4.64}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then, let consider $\epsilon' > 0$ such that (4.60), (4.64), (4.62) and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},\ \|\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq \|\mathcal{N}_{i,0}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \\ &\|\Psi_\epsilon\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}} \leq \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}},\ \|\rho_{0,\epsilon}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

are valid for all $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon'$. As a consequence of (4.64) and (4.59), it is easy to check that

$$\gamma_\epsilon(t) := \mathrm{dist}(\partial K_{\epsilon,t}, \partial\mathcal{O}) > \gamma \tag{4.66}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon'$.

Now, for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon'$ fixed, let us define $\mathbb{F}_\epsilon = \nabla X_\epsilon$. From the smoothness of $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$, (4.64) and (4.63), it is possible to choose $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ (depending only on ϵ and L_1) and $t_0 = T/N$ such that

$$\sup_{0\leq m\leq N} \sup_{t\in[mt_0,(m+1)t_0]} \|I - \mathbb{F}_\epsilon(.,mt_0,t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O})} \leq 1/3. \tag{4.67}$$

The inequality (4.67) implies that, in each time interval (t_{k-1}, t_k), the advecting vector field is close to the identity. This is an important point in the proof and it is based on [15]. As we will see, from (4.67), the Lagrangian forms of certain operators that appear in the Lagrangian formulation for (3.37) and (3.38) are uniformly elliptic. In fact, from (4.67), it is easy to check that, for each $m \in \{0,1,\ldots,N\}$ and $t \in [mt_0,(m+1)t_0]$,

$$1/4|\mathbf{y}|^2 \leq |\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}(\xi, mt_0, t)\cdot\mathbf{y}|^2 < 4|\mathbf{y}|^2, \quad a.\ e. \quad \xi \in \mathcal{O}, \tag{4.68}$$

$\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. As a consequence, we have existence results for the related linearized problems, in each time interval (t_{k-1}, t_k).

Let us consider L_2, L_1, T, $\epsilon' > 0$, N and t_0 as above. For each $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon'$ and in each time interval (t_{k-1}, t_k), we want to solve a set of linearized problems and to apply the Schauder's fixed point theorem. Below we give the details for the first time interval $(0, t_0)$.

We take $(\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_J) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\overline{\mathbf{v}} \in Y_{t_0}/\|\overline{\mathbf{v}}\|_{Y_{t_0}} \leq L_1\}, \quad \mathcal{X} = \{\widetilde{\vartheta}_i \in W_{t_0}/\|\widetilde{\vartheta}_i\|_{W_{t_0}} \leq L_2\}.$$

Extend $\overline{\mathbf{v}}(.,t)$ to be zero for $t \in (t_0, T)$, we want to solve (in the given order) the following linearized problems

P1 Problem (3.37) in $(0, t_0)$ with $\mathcal{N}_i$ replaced by

$$\vartheta_{i,\epsilon}(.,t) := r_\epsilon(\vartheta_i)(.,t), \tag{4.69}$$

where $\vartheta_i(.,t) = \widetilde{\vartheta}_i(X_\epsilon(.,t,0),t)$ and $\widetilde{\vartheta}_i(.,t)$ is extended to be zero outside of Ω_0; the domains (Ω_t, K_t) replaced by $(\Omega_{\epsilon,t}, K_{\epsilon,t})$, Ψ replaced by Ψ_ϵ, ρ_0 replaced by $\rho_{0,\epsilon}$, κ replaced by

$$\kappa_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t) = \kappa_1 \mathcal{I}_{K_{\epsilon,t}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \kappa_2 \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}}(\mathbf{x},t). \tag{4.70}$$

and ρ, q_i replaced by ρ_ϵ, $q_{i,\epsilon}$ give as in (2.5) and (2.4) (with the suitable modifications), respectively. Let us to denote this solution as ψ_ϵ.

P2 Problem (3.38) in $(0,t_0)$, with $(\psi, \mathbf{u})$ replaced by $([\psi_\epsilon]^\epsilon, \mathbf{v}_\epsilon)$, $\mathcal{N}_{i,0}$ by $\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon}$ and the domains (Ω_t, K_t) replaced by $(\Omega_{\epsilon,t}, K_{\epsilon,t})$. Let us denote this solution as $\mathcal{N}_{i,\epsilon}$.

P3 Problem (3.34) in $(0,t_0)$ with $\mu(\mathbf{x},t)$ replaced by

$$\mu_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},t) = \overline{\mu}_1 \mathcal{I}_{K_{\epsilon,t}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \overline{\mu}_2 \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}}(\mathbf{x},t) \tag{4.71}$$

(the solution of (3.23), considering $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ instead $\mathbf{u}$), $\mathbf{F}$ by $\mathbf{F}_\epsilon$, $\mathbf{u}_0$ by $\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon}$ and replacing the term $\mu\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}$ by $\mu_\epsilon \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}$. Here $\mathbf{F}_\epsilon$ corresponds to $\mathbf{F}$ given in (2.14) with $(\psi, \mathcal{N}_i)$ replaced by $(\psi_\epsilon, \mathcal{N}_{i,\epsilon})$.

4.1 The Poisson System

Lemma 4.2. *The problem* **P1** *has a unique solution* $\psi_\epsilon \in L^\infty(0,t_0;H^1(\mathcal{O}))$ *that satisfies*

$$(\psi_{1,\epsilon}(.,t), \psi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{K_{\epsilon,t}}) \times C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}}), \tag{4.72}$$

for a. e. $t \in (0,t_0)$*. Furthermore, for a. e.* $t \in (0,t_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|\nabla\psi_{1,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,4,K_{\epsilon,t}}, \|\nabla\psi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,4,\Omega_{\epsilon,t}}\} \\ &\leq C_2(L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|^2_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|^2_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

where $C_2 = 2C_1^2 \max\{32\pi^2 e^2 \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2, 32\pi^2 e^2\}$.

Proof. First we observe that, for all $t \in [0,t_0]$, we have $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,t) = 0$, so that $\det \mathbb{F}_\epsilon(.,t,0) = 1$, as is easy to check. As a consequence, from (4.69) and (4.60), we have

$$\|\vartheta_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\Omega_{\epsilon,t}} \leq L_2 \tag{4.74}$$

for a. e. $t \in (0,t_0)$. Furthermore, from the smoothness of X_ϵ and the definition of $\mathrm{r}_\epsilon(\vartheta_i)$, $\vartheta_{i,\epsilon}(.,t) \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}})$, a. e. $t \in (0,t_0)$. Then, recalling (4.66), the results follow from Lemma 4.1, with

$$f = -4\pi e \sum_{i=1}^J q_{i,\epsilon}(.,t) - 4\pi\rho_\epsilon(.,t)$$

and $g = \Psi_\epsilon$. In (4.73) we have also used (4.65). □

Remark 4.1. We have also the estimates, for a. e. $t \in (0, t_0)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\omega_\epsilon(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})} &\leq C_\epsilon(L_2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}),\\
\|\Phi_\epsilon(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{O}})} &\leq C(\|\Psi_\epsilon\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{O})} + \|\omega_\epsilon(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\partial\mathcal{O})})\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(L_2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}),\\
\|\phi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}})} &\leq C\|\omega_\epsilon(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\partial K_{\epsilon,t})} \leq C_\epsilon(L_2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\mathcal{O}, K_0, e, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \gamma, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J)$. As a consequence, for a. e. $t \in (0, t_0)$,

$$
\|\psi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}})} \leq C_\epsilon(1 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}), \tag{4.75}
$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\mathcal{O}, K_0, e, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \gamma, L_2, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J)$. Moreover, for each $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ the function $\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi, .)$ is measurable with respect to the variable $t \in [0, t_0]$. The same is valid for the first and second derivatives of $\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi, .)$ with respect to ξ. In fact, this is a consequence of (4.50) as $\widetilde{\omega}_\epsilon(\xi, .)$ is clearly measurable and $\widetilde{\Phi}_\epsilon(\xi, .)$, $\widetilde{\phi}_\epsilon(\xi, .)$ can be represented in terms of simple and double layer potentials with measurable time dependent density functions (see the classical results on Laplace and transmission problems in [9]).

4.2 The Nernst Planck Equation

Let us define $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon} = \mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1} \cdot \nabla$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(.,t) = \psi_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(.,0,t),t)$. We consider the problem **P2** in its Lagrangian version: For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$, find

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t) := \mathcal{N}_{i,\epsilon}(X_\epsilon(.,0,t),t)
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t) = d_i \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \right)(\xi,t),\ (\xi,t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0,t_0]\\
&\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,0) = \mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon}(\xi),\ \xi \in \Omega_0,\\
&\left(\partial_\nu \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\ \partial_\nu [\widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon}]^\epsilon \right)(\xi,t) = 0,\ (\xi,t) \in \partial\mathcal{O} \cup \partial K_0 \times (0,t_0],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.76}
$$

where we have extended $\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(.,t)$ to be zero in $t \in (t_0, T]$. From the hypothesis **H** we have the compatibility condition

$$
\partial_\nu \mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon}(\xi) + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \mathcal{N}_{0,i,\epsilon}(\xi)\ \partial_\nu \psi_{2,\epsilon}(\xi,0) = 0,\ \xi \in \partial\mathcal{O} \cup \partial K_0. \tag{4.77}
$$

Also, we consider the weak formulation for (4.76): Find

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \in L^\infty(0,t_0;L^2(\Omega_0)) \cap L^2(0,t_0;H^1(\Omega_0))
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \varsigma d\xi \right)(t) + d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} + \frac{Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \varsigma d\xi d\tau +\\
&- \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \varsigma_t d\xi d\tau = \left(\int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon} \varsigma_0 d\xi \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.78}
$$

for all $\varsigma \in H^1(\Omega_0 \times (0,t_0))$ and a. e. $t \in (0,t_0)$.

A direct calculation using (4.68) gives us that the problem (4.76) is uniformly parabolic. Using the regularity of the coefficients we can obtain the existence of a unique nonnegative solution $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \in C^{2+\alpha,1}(\overline{\Omega_0} \times [0,t_0])$ of (4.76)-(4.77) (see Theorem 5.3, Chapter IV in [25]) that corresponds to the unique solution of (4.78). For sufficiently small t_0, we can obtain uniform estimates on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$. In fact, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *The solution $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ of (4.76)-(4.77) satisfies*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,t_0]} \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau < L_2, \tag{4.79}$$

where L_2 is given in (4.55).

Proof. First, we observe that a standard calculation gives us that, for all $t \in [0,t_0]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_0} (|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 - |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,0)|^2) d\xi + d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau = \\ &= -\frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau \\ &\le \frac{d_i}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau + \frac{d_i Z_i^2 e^2}{2\kappa_B^2 \theta^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon|^2 d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

Now, from (4.68), (4.61) and recalling the Remark 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon(\xi,t)|^4 d\xi < 16 \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon(\xi,t)|^4 d\xi \\ &= 16 \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \nabla_\xi \int_0^{t_0} \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi,\tau) g_\epsilon(t-\tau) d\tau \right|^4 d\xi \\ &= 16 \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \int_0^{t_0} \nabla_\xi \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi,\tau) g_\epsilon(t-\tau) d\tau \right|^4 d\xi = 16 \int_{\Omega_0} |[\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi,t)]^\epsilon|^4 d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.81}$$

From (4.67) and the definition of X_ϵ, we have $\|\mathbb{F}_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(.,\tau,0),0,\tau)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} \le 4/3$, for each $\tau \in [0,t_0]$. Then, using (4.62) and (4.73), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon(\xi,\tau)|^4 d\xi d\tau < 16 \int_{\Omega_0} \int_0^{t_0} |[\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi,\tau)]^\epsilon|^4 d\xi d\tau \\ &\le 16 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon(\xi,\tau)|^4 d\xi d\tau \\ &= 16 \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbb{F}_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\tau,0),0,\tau) \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \psi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\tau)|^4 d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\ &\le \frac{4096}{81} \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \psi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x},\tau)|^4 d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\ &\le t_0 \frac{4096 C_2^2}{81} (L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}^2 + \|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}^2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.82}$$

Using the Hölder's inequality and (4.82) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \left(\int_0^t \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\|^4_{0,4,\Omega_0} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon\|^4_{0,4,\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq t_0^{1/2} \frac{64 C_2}{9} (L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|^2_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|^2_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}) \times \\
&\times \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau + \operatorname{ess} \sup_{t\in(0,t_0)} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.83}$$

where for $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ we have used a well known Sobolev inequality (see inequality (3.8) in Chapter II of [25]). From (4.68), (4.83) and (4.84), we can write (4.80) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq T^{1/2} A \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau + \sup_{t\in[0,t_0]} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0} \right\} + \frac{1}{2d_{min}} \int_{\Omega_0} |\mathcal{N}_{i,0}|^2 d\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.84}$$

for all $t \in [0, t_0]$, where A and d_{min} were defined in (4.56). Recalling (4.55) and (4.59), we obtain (4.79). □

Lemma 4.4. *The solution $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ of (4.76)-(4.77) satisfies*

$$\int_0^{t_0} \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + \operatorname{ess} \sup_{t\in(0,t_0)} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi \leq C_\epsilon (1 + \|\mathcal{N}_{i,0}\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0}), \tag{4.85}$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\mathcal{O}, K_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, d_i, e, \kappa_B, \theta, \gamma, t_0, L_1, L_2)$.

Proof. Taking the L^2-internal product of (4.76) with $\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$, we obtain, after integrating by parts and the use of the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi + d_i \int_{\Omega_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} d\xi + \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{d_i e Z_i}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}) d\xi \right)(t) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.86}$$

for each $t \in (0, t_0]$. Now, integrating by parts again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}) d\xi \right)(t) = \left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu ds + \right. \\
&\left. - \int_{\Omega_0} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon) d\xi \right)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} \partial_t (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu ds + \right. \\
&\left. - \int_{\Omega_0} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon) d\xi \right)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} \partial_t ((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu) ds + \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \partial_t (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu) ds - \int_{\Omega_0} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon) d\xi \right)(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.87}$$

Also, it is easy to check that

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\partial_t\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(|\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}\nabla\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2)_t - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\cdot((\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})_t\nabla\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}). \tag{4.88}$$

Then, from (4.87), (4.88) and integrating (4.86) in $(0,t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_0}(\partial_t\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + \\
&+\frac{d_i}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega_0}|\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi\right)(t) - \frac{d_i}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega_0}|\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi\right)(0) \\
&- d_i\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_0}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,i}\cdot((\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})_t\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,i})d\xi d\tau + \\
&+\frac{d_ieZ_i}{2\kappa_B\theta}\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0}((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,i})^2\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon\cdot\nu ds\right)(t) + \\
&-\frac{d_ieZ_i}{2\kappa_B\theta}\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0}((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\epsilon,i})^2\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon\cdot\nu)ds\right)(0) + \\
&-\frac{d_ieZ_i}{2\theta\kappa_B}\int_0^t\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2\partial_t(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon\cdot\nu)ds d\tau + \\
&-\frac{d_ieZ_i}{\theta\kappa_B}\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_0}\partial_t\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\cdot(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)d\xi d\tau = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for each $t\in(0,t_0]$. Let us now obtain bounds on each term given above. First we observe that, from the incompressibility condition of $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$, $\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}=(a_{ij})$ corresponds to the adjoint matrix of $\mathbb{F}_\epsilon$, i.e., for each $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$,

$$a_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}\left(\frac{\partial X_{m,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_r}\frac{\partial X_{n,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_l} - \frac{\partial X_{n,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_r}\frac{\partial X_{m,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_l}\right), \tag{4.89}$$

where $X_\epsilon=(X_{1,\epsilon},X_{2,\epsilon},X_{3,\epsilon})$. Furthermore, n,l are the largest elements of $\{1,2,3\}$ satisfying $n\neq i$, $l\neq j$, respectively; $m,r\in\{1,2,3\}$, $m\neq i,n$ and $r\neq j,l$. Now, from (4.63),

$$X_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,0,t) = \xi_i + \int_0^t v_{i,\epsilon}(X_\epsilon(\xi,0,\tau),\tau)d\tau,$$

for all $(\xi,t)\in\mathcal{O}\times[0,t_0]$ and $i\in\{1,2,3\}$, where $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon=(v_{1,\epsilon},v_{2,\epsilon},v_{3,\epsilon})$. As a consequence, if $x_i=X_{i,\epsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_j}(.,0,t)\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} &\le \delta_{ij} + \int_0^t\sum_{k=1}^3\left\|\frac{\partial v_{i,\epsilon}(.,\tau)}{\partial x_k}\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}(.,0,\tau)}{\partial\xi_k}\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\
&\le 1 + \int_0^t\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,\tau)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}\sum_{k=1}^3\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}(.,0,\tau)}{\partial\xi_k}\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$ and $t\in[0,t_0]$. Then,

$$\sum_{i,j}\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}}{\partial\xi_j}(.,0,t)\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} \le 1 + 3\int_0^t\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,\tau)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}\sum_{i,j}\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}(.,0,\tau)}{\partial\xi_j}\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} d\tau$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial X_{i,\epsilon}}{\partial \xi_j}(.,0,.)\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)}\\
&\leq 1+3\int_0^{t_0}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,\tau)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}\exp\left(\int_\tau^{t_0}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,r)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}dr\right)d\tau\\
&\leq 1+C_\epsilon\int_0^{t_0}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\exp\left(C_\epsilon\int_0^{t_0}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(.,r)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}dr\right)d\tau\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(t_0,L_1),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.90}$$

using the Gronwall's lemma. Analogously,

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^2 X_{i,\epsilon}}{\partial t\partial \xi_j}(.,0,.)\right\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)}\leq C_\epsilon(t_0,L_1),$$

for all $i,j\in\{1,2,3\}$. Hence,

$$\|(\partial_t\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})(.,0,.)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)}\leq C_\epsilon(t_0,L_1).\tag{4.91}$$

Now we observe that, from (4.61) and (4.75),

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon}]^\epsilon(\xi,t)|\leq\int_0^{t_0}|g_\epsilon(t-\tau)||\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1}(\xi,0,t)\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon}(\xi,\tau)|d\tau\\
&\leq C_\epsilon\int_0^{t_0}|\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\psi_{2,\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},\tau)|d\tau\leq C_\epsilon(t_0)\operatorname{ess}\sup_{t\in(0,t_0)}\|\psi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}})}\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\mathcal{O},K_0,\gamma,Z_1,\ldots,Z_J,t_0,L_2)(1+\|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}+\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.92}$$

for all $\xi\in\overline{\Omega_0}$ and a. e. $t\in(0,t_0)$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\cdot(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)(\xi,\tau)|\leq\int_0^{t_0}|g_\epsilon(t-\tau)||\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\psi_{2,\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},\tau)|d\tau\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\mathcal{O},K_0,\gamma,Z_1,\ldots,Z_J,t_0,L_2)(1+\|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}+\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.93}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_t(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)(\xi,t)|\leq\int_0^{t_0}|\partial_t g_\epsilon(t-\tau)||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\psi_{2,\epsilon}(\mathbf{x},\tau)|d\tau\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(t_0)\operatorname{ess}\sup_{t\in(0,t_0)}\|\psi_{2,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega_{\epsilon,t}})}\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\mathcal{O},K_0,\gamma,Z_1,\ldots,Z_J,t_0,L_2)(1+\|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0}+\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.94}$$

for all $\xi\in\overline{\Omega_0}$ and a. e. $t\in(0,t_0)$.

Using (4.68), (4.91) and (4.79), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_0}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\cdot((\mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})_t\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})d\xi d\tau\\
&\leq C_\epsilon(t_0,L_1)\int_0^t\|\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\|_{0,2,\Omega_0}^2d\tau<C_\epsilon(t_0,L_1,L_2),
\end{aligned}$$

for each $t\in(0,t_0]$. If $1/2<s<1$, the trace theorem and (4.92) give us,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0}((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}]_\epsilon^\epsilon\cdot\nu)ds\right)(t)\\
&\leq C_\epsilon\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2ds+\int_{\partial K_0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2ds\right)\leq C_\epsilon\|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{s,2,\Omega_0}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{O}, K_0, e, \gamma, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, t_0, \rho_0, \Psi, L_2)$. Hence, from interpolation results (see Theorem 1.3.7 in [31]), Young's inequality and (4.79), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} ((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu) ds\right)(t) \\
&\leq C_\epsilon \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^{2(1-s)}_{0,2,\Omega_0} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^{2s}_{1,2,\Omega_0} \\
&\leq C_\epsilon^{1/(s-1)}(s-1)\wp^{-1/(s-1)} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0} + s\wp^{1/s} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{1,2,\Omega_0} \\
&\leq C_\epsilon + s\wp^{1/s} \|\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\wp > 0$ and $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(e, s, \wp, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{O}, K_0, \gamma, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, t_0, \rho_0, \Psi, L_2)$. Let us to recall that $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{N}_{i,0,\epsilon} \subset\subset \Omega_0$, so that

$$\left(\int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} ((\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu) ds\right)(0) = 0.$$

Also, using (4.94), trace theorem and (4.79), for each $t \in (0, t_0]$,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}\cup\partial K_0} (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 \partial_t (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot \nu) ds d\tau \leq C_\epsilon \int_0^t \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|^2_{1,2,\Omega_0} d\tau \leq C_\epsilon,$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(e, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{O}, K_0, \gamma, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, t_0, \rho_0, \Psi, L_2)$.

Furthermore, using the Young's inequality, (4.92), (4.93) and (4.68),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\ \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon) d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon|^2 d\xi d\tau + \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \cdot (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + C_\epsilon \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau + C_\epsilon \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + C_\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(e, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \mathcal{O}, K_0, \gamma, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, t_0, \rho_0, \Psi, L_2)$. From the previous results, choosing $\wp = \left(\frac{\kappa_B \theta}{8se|Z_i|}\right)^s$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\partial_t \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})^2 d\xi d\tau + \frac{d_i}{16}\int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(t,\xi)|^2 d\xi < C_\epsilon(\|\mathcal{N}_{i,0}\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0} + 1)$$

for each $t \in (0, t_0]$, which implies in (4.85). □

4.3 The Navier-Stokes Equations

In order to deal with the problem **P3**, we define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_\epsilon(.,t) = -e\sum_{i=1}^J (Z_i \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon)(.,t)$$

and consider the linear problem related to (3.34) in Lagrangian coordinates: Find $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon(.,t) := \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(X_\epsilon(.,0,t),t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\mu_{p,0}\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon \cdot \partial_t\varphi - 2\eta D_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon) : D_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}(\varphi)\right)\, d\xi\, d\tau + \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_{p,0}\mathbf{u}_{0,\epsilon}\cdot\varphi(0)\, d\xi = \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_{p,0}\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon \cdot \varphi\, d\xi\right)(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \overline{\mu}_f \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_\epsilon \cdot \varphi\, d\xi\, d\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{4.95}$$

for a. e. $t \in (0,t_0)$ and $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\epsilon$, where

$\mathcal{S}_\epsilon = \{\varphi \in H^1(\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0))^3, \nabla_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}\cdot\varphi = 0,\ \varphi|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = 0, \nu_{p,0}D_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}\varphi = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)\}$.

Lemma 4.5. *The problem (4.95) has a unique solution*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon \in L^\infty(0,t_0;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,t_0;H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))^3,$$

such that $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}\cdot\ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon = 0$*,* $\mu_{p,0}D_{\mathbf{v}^\epsilon}(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon) = 0$ *a. e. in* $\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)$*. Furthermore,* $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ *satisfies (3.34) and we have the estimates*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,t_0)} \|\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \int_0^{t_0} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_\epsilon\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} d\tau < L_1^2, \tag{4.96}$$

where L_1 *is given in (4.58), and*

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,t_0)} \|\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(.,t)\|^2_{1,2,\Omega_0} + \int_0^{t_0} \|\partial_t\mathbf{u}_\epsilon\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^{t_0} \|\mathbf{u}_\epsilon\|^2_{2,2,\Omega_0} d\tau \le C_\epsilon\left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|^2_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|^2_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.97}$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\eta, \overline{\mu}_p, \overline{\mu}_f, K_0, \mathcal{O}, Z_1, \dots, Z_J, e, C_1, C_{**})$*.*

Proof. The existence and uniqueness is based on a special Galerkin approximation technique and was established in [15] jointly with the estimate (3.29) for $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$. Now, from Schwarz inequality, Sobolev embedding (see (4.57)), (4.73) and (4.79),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{t_0}\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} |\mathbf{F}_\epsilon|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\tau \le 2e^2 \int_0^{t_0}\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2 |\mathcal{N}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla\psi_\epsilon|^2 d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\ &\le 2e^2 \int_0^{t_0} \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2 \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\|^2_{0,4,\Omega_0} \|\nabla\psi_\epsilon\|^2_{0,4,\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} d\tau \\ &\le 2e^2 \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2 C_{**}^2 \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,t_0)} \|\nabla\psi_\epsilon(.,t)\|^2_{0,4,\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} \int_0^{t_0} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\|^2_{1,2,\Omega_0} d\tau \\ &\le 2e^2 \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i|^2 C_{**}^2 L_2^2 C_2 (L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|^2_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|^2_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.98}$$

Then, recalling (3.29), the estimates (4.98) and (4.58) give us (4.96).

From the regularity results established in [15], we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,t_0)} \|\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(.,t)\|^2_{1,2,\Omega_0} + \int_0^{t_0} \|\partial_t\mathbf{u}_\epsilon\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^{t_0} \|\mathbf{u}_\epsilon\|^2_{2,2,\Omega_0} d\tau \le C_\epsilon\left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \int_0^{t_0} \|\mathbf{F}_\epsilon\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_{\epsilon,\tau}} d\tau\right),\end{aligned}$$

where $C_\epsilon = C_\epsilon(\eta, \overline{\mu}_p, \overline{\mu}_f, K_0, \mathcal{O})$. Hence, (4.97) follows from (4.98). $\square$

4.4 Fixed Point Procedure

Let us recall that we have chosen $(\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_J) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$. Now we observe that

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{v}_{c,\epsilon}(t) + \mathbf{w}_\epsilon(t) \times (\mathbf{x} - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon(t))$$

for $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in K_{\epsilon,t} \times (0, t_0)$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon(t)$ is the center of mass of $K_{\epsilon,t}$. Let us define the map

$$G_\epsilon(\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_J) = (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon, \overline{\theta}_\epsilon, \Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon), \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{J,\epsilon}),$$

where $(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon, \overline{\theta}_\epsilon, \Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon)) \in Y_{t_0}$, $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon(t) = \mathbf{x}_c(0) + \int_0^t \mathbf{v}_{c,\epsilon}(\tau)d\tau$, $\overline{\theta}_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t \mathbf{w}_\epsilon(\tau)d\tau$ and $\Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon)$ denotes the incompressible component in Ω_0 of $\Theta^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon)$; as described in [15], $\mathbf{y}_\epsilon$ is a divergence-free vector field constructed from $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$, $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ and from suitable rigid current functions. In order to show that this map has a fixed point in $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$ we need to prove some technical results.

Lemma 4.6. *G_ϵ is a continuous map from $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$ into itself.*

Proof. First we observe that G_ϵ applies $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$ into itself. In fact, using (4.79) we have the estimate for $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$. As in [15], the estimate for $(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon, \overline{\theta}_\epsilon, \Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon))$ follows from (4.96). Now, let us to consider $(\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_J) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$ and sequences $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_n \to \overline{\mathbf{v}}$ in $\mathcal{B}$, $\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n} \to \widetilde{\vartheta}_i$ in $\mathcal{X}$, as $n \to \infty$. We need to show that

$$G_\epsilon(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_n, \widetilde{\vartheta}_{1,n}, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_{J,n}) \to G_\epsilon(\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{\vartheta}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\vartheta}_J) \text{ in } \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X},$$

as $n \to \infty$. As before, we denote $\mathbf{v}_n = \Theta(\overline{\mathbf{v}}_n)$, $\mathbf{v} = \Theta(\overline{\mathbf{v}})$, $\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon} = \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\mathbf{v}_n)$ and $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon = \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\mathbf{v})$. Also $X_{n,\epsilon}$ and X_ϵ represent the Lagrangian flows of $\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}$ and $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon$, respectively. From the properties of the function Θ (see [15]), for fixed $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon} \to \mathbf{v}_\epsilon$ in $L^\infty(0, t_0; L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0, t_0; H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3$, as $n \to \infty$. Henceforth, denoting

$$\mathbb{F}_{n,\epsilon} = \nabla X_{\epsilon,n} \text{ and } \mathbb{F}_\epsilon = \nabla X_\epsilon,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mathbb{F}_{n,\epsilon}^{-1} - \mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})(.,0,.)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon, t_0, \mathcal{O}, L_1)\|\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon} - \mathbf{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,t_0;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,t_0;H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.99}$$

In fact, this follows from (4.63) combined with (4.89) and (4.90).

Now, we define

$$(\vartheta_{i,n,\epsilon}(.,t) := \widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n,\epsilon}(X_{n,\epsilon}(.,t,0),t), \vartheta_{i,\epsilon}(.,t) := \widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,\epsilon}(X_\epsilon(.,t,0),t)), \tag{4.100}$$

and consider the Lagrangian versions of (2.3)-(2.9), for a. e. $t \in (0, t_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \cdot (\mathbf{k}(.,0)\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}\widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}) &= -4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^J \widetilde{q}_{i,n,\epsilon} - 4\pi\widetilde{\rho}_\epsilon \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1(\mathcal{O}))', \\ \widetilde{\psi}_{n,2,\epsilon}(\xi,t) &= \widetilde{\psi}_{n,1,\epsilon}(\xi,t), \quad \xi \in \partial K_0, \\ (\kappa_1\partial_\nu\widetilde{\psi}_{n,1,\epsilon} - \kappa_2\partial_\nu\widetilde{\psi}_{n,2,\epsilon})(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad \xi \in \partial K_0, \\ \widetilde{\psi}_{n,2,\epsilon}(\xi,t) &= \Psi_\epsilon(\xi), \quad \xi \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.101}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\cdot(\mathbf{k}(.,0)\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon) &= -4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\widetilde{q}_{i,\epsilon} - 4\pi\widetilde{\rho}_\epsilon \quad \text{in} \quad (H^1(\mathcal{O}))',\\ \widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon}(\xi,t) &= \widetilde{\psi}_{1,\epsilon}(\xi,t), \quad a.\ e.\ \xi\in\partial K_0, \\ (\kappa_1\partial_\nu\widetilde{\psi}_{1,\epsilon} - \kappa_2\partial_\nu\widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon})(\xi,t) &= 0, \quad a.\ e.\ \xi\in\partial K_0,\\ \widetilde{\psi}_{2,\epsilon}(\xi,t) &= \Psi_\epsilon(\xi), \quad a.\ e.\ \xi\in\partial\mathcal{O},\end{aligned} \tag{4.102}$$

where,

$$\widetilde{q}_{i,n,\epsilon}(\xi,t) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} Z_i\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n,\epsilon}(\xi,t),\ \xi\in\Omega_0,\\ 0,\ \xi\in K_0,\end{array}\right. \qquad \widetilde{q}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t) = \left\{\begin{array}{c} Z_i\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t),\ \xi\in\Omega_0,\\ 0,\ \xi\in K_0\end{array}\right.$$

and

$$\widetilde{\rho}_\epsilon(\xi,t) = \left\{\begin{array}{c}\rho_{0,\epsilon}(\xi),\ \xi\in K_0,\\ 0,\ \xi\in\Omega_0.\end{array}\right.$$

Considering $f_{n,\epsilon} := \widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon} - \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon$ as a test function in (4.101) and in (4.102), after some calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa_0|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}f_{n,\epsilon}|^2d\xi\right)(t) \le \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa_0|(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon)\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}f_{n,\epsilon}|d\xi\right)(t)+\\ &+\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}\kappa_0|(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}f_{n,\epsilon} - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}f_{n,\epsilon})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon|d\xi\right)(t)+\\ &+4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}|Z_i|\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n,\epsilon} - \widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,\epsilon}||f_{n,\epsilon}|d\xi\right)(t)\\ &\le \|(\mathbb{F}_{n,\epsilon}^{-1} - \mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})(.,0,.)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)}\max\{\kappa_1,\kappa_2\}(\|\nabla_\xi\widetilde{\psi}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}}f_{n,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\\ &+\|\nabla_\xi f_{n,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}\widetilde{\psi}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}) + C\|(\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n,\epsilon} - \widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,\epsilon})(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|f_{n,\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(e, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J)$. Clearly, as $\|(\widetilde{\vartheta}_{i,n} - \widetilde{\vartheta}_i)(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \to 0$ with $n\to\infty$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla_\xi f_{n,\epsilon}(.,t)|^2d\xi\right)(t)\to 0,\ n\to\infty,\ a.\ e.\ \ t\in(0,t_0) \tag{4.103}$$

using (4.73), Poincaré's inequality, (4.68) and (4.99).

We define $(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,n,\epsilon}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{n,\epsilon}, \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon)$ as the solutions of (4.78) and (3.34) considering $([\widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}]^\epsilon, \mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon})$ and $([\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon, \mathbf{v}_\epsilon)$, respectively. Taking $\mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} := \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,n,\epsilon} -$

$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ as a test function in (4.78) we obtain, after some calculation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_0} (\mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(\xi,t))^2 d\xi + d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau = \\
&= -d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau + \\
&- d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} (\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau + \\
&- \frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} [\widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}]^\epsilon \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau + \\
&- \frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} [\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} [\widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}]^\epsilon - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau + \\
&- \frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} [\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon] \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} d\xi d\tau + \\
&- \frac{d_i Z_i e}{\kappa_B \theta} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon \cdot [\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon} - \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}] d\xi d\tau,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.104}
$$

for each $t \in (0, t_0)$. Then, using Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding and an analogous estimate as in (4.83) and (4.84) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_0} (\mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(\xi,t))^2 d\xi + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \\
&\leq C \|(\mathbb{F}_{n,\epsilon}^{-1} - \mathbb{F}_\epsilon^{-1})(.,0,.)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}\times(0,t_0)} \times \\
&\times \left[\int_0^t \|\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_0} \|\nabla_\xi \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_0} d\tau + \right. \\
&\left. + \int_0^t \|\nabla_\xi [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon(.,\tau)\|_{0,4,\Omega_0} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|_{1,2,\Omega_0} \|\nabla_\xi \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_0} d\tau \right] + \\
&+ C \|\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}\|_{0,\infty,\Omega_0\times(0,t_0)} \int_0^t \|\nabla_\xi ([\widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}]^\epsilon - [\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon)(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\nabla_\xi \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_0} d\tau,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.105}
$$

where $C = C(\Omega_0, \kappa_B, \theta, Z_i, e, d_i)$. Hence, using (4.99), (4.62), (4.103) and (4.79) we obtain the convergence

$$
\operatorname{ess}\sup_{t\in(0,t_0)} \int_{\Omega_0} (\mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}(\xi,t))^2 d\xi + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \mathcal{U}_{i,n,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \to 0,\ n \to \infty. \tag{4.106}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_\epsilon(.,t) = -e \sum_{i=1}^J (Z_i \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon} \widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon)(.,t),\ \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{n,\epsilon}(.,t) = -e \sum_{i=1}^J (Z_i \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,n,\epsilon} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}_{n,\epsilon}} \widetilde{\psi}_{n,\epsilon})(.,t),
$$

respectively. Taking $\mathbf{q}_{n,\epsilon} := \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{n,\epsilon} - \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon$ as a test function in (3.34), using (3.29) and (4.96) we have $\mathbf{q}_{n,\epsilon} \to \mathbf{0}$ in $L^\infty(0,t_0;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3 \cap L^2(0,t_0;H_0^1(\mathcal{O}))^3$ if we can show that

$$
\int_0^t \|(\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_{n,\epsilon} - \widetilde{\mathbf{F}}_\epsilon)(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\Omega_0}^2 d\tau \to 0
$$

with $n \to \infty$, for a. e. $t \in (0, t_0)$. This result follows writing the above expression as in the right side of (4.104) and from the estimates obtained in

(4.105). Then, following [15],

$$(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{n,\epsilon}, \overline{\theta}_{n,\epsilon}, \Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_{n,\epsilon})) \to (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_\epsilon, \overline{\theta}_\epsilon, \Theta_2^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_\epsilon)) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{B},$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence, recalling (4.106) we obtain the continuity of G_ϵ. ☐

Proposition 4.1. *The operator G_ϵ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{X} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. First we observe that G_ϵ is a compact operator. In fact, this follows from Lemma 4.6, the rigidity properties in K_0 and from the Sobolev embeddings in Ω_0 for $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ (as a consequence of the estimates (4.79) and (4.85)) and $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ (from the estimates (4.96) and (4.97)). Then, the map G_ϵ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{B}$, using Lemma 4.6 and Schauder's theorem. ☐

The first time step on $(0, t_0)$ is completed. From interpolation results (see Theorem 1.3.8 in [31]), $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(., t_0) \in H^1(\mathcal{O})^3$. Therefore, we can proceed similarly on the interval (t_0, t_1), considering $(\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(., t_0), \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(., t_0))$ as the initial conditions for the problems (4.95) and (4.78), respectively. We give below some details related to the obtention of the bound (4.79) in this second step of the procedure.

Let us consider ψ_ϵ and $\mathcal{N}_{i,\epsilon}$ the solutions of the problems **P1** and **P2** in $(0, t_0)$, respectively. We extend these functions in (t_0, t_1) to be the solutions of **P1** and **P2** in this interval. First, we observe that (4.73) is valid in (t_0, t_1) using the Lemma 4.1. From a similar argument as in (4.80), we have, for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \frac{d_i}{8}\int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{d_i Z_i^2 e^2}{2\kappa_B^2 \theta^2} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon|^2 d\xi d\tau + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(\xi, t_0)|^2 d\xi \end{aligned} \tag{4.107}$$

and, for all $t \in [0, t_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}(\xi,t)|^2 d\xi + \frac{d_i}{8}\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_\xi \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 d\xi d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{d_i Z_i^2 e^2}{2\kappa_B^2 \theta^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}|^2 |\nabla_{\mathbf{v}_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\psi}_\epsilon]^\epsilon|^2 d\xi d\tau + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_0} |\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.108}$$

Considering $t = t_0$ in (4.108), we obtain the validity of inequality (4.108) for all $t \in [0, t_1]$. As a consequence, we obtain a version of (4.79) in the interval $(0, t_1)$, following the lines of the proof of Lemma 4.3. In particular, (4.79) is valid if we consider the interval (t_0, t_1). A similar argument can be used in order to obtain the estimate (4.96) in $(0, t_1)$.

Repeating all the process in each step (t_{i-1}, t_i) (recall that the number of steps $N = T/t_0$ only depends on ϵ and L_1) we obtain, for each $\epsilon > 0$, $(\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\epsilon, \psi_\epsilon)$ as the solutions, respectively, of the problems (4.95), (4.78) and (3.37) in $(0, T)$

and μ_ϵ that satisfies (4.71). If we consider $\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_\epsilon$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,\epsilon}$ in terms of the Eulerian coordinates, we see that, for each $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon'$, $(\mathbf{u}_\epsilon, \mu_\epsilon, \mathcal{N}_{1,\epsilon}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{J,\epsilon}, \psi_\epsilon)$ is a solution of the problems **P1**, **P2** and **P3** and we can obtain an approximate sequence $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mu_n, \mathcal{N}_{1,n}, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_{J,n}, \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of solutions for (3.34)-(3.38). Using (4.68), (4.79) and (4.96) in each time step (t_{i-1}, t_i) we obtain, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname*{ess\ sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_{n,t}} + \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_{n,t}} d\tau < 4L_2^2, \\ &\operatorname*{ess\ sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\mathbf{u}_n(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_n\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} d\tau < L_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.109}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname*{ess\ sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\mu_n\|_{0,\infty,D} \le \max\{\overline{\mu}_f, \overline{\mu}_p\}, \\ &\operatorname*{ess\ sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \max\left(\|\nabla\psi_{1,n}(.,t)\|_{0,4,K_{n,t}}, \|\nabla\psi_{2,n}(.,t)\|_{0,4,\Omega_{n,t}}\right) \le \mathsf{B}_*, \end{aligned} \tag{4.110}$$

where $\mathsf{B}_* = C_2^{1/2}(L_2^2 + \|\rho_0\|^2_{0,2,K_0} + \|\Psi\|^2_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}})^{1/2}$ and

$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \inf_{t\in(0,T)} \operatorname{dist}(K_{n,t}, \partial\mathcal{O}) \ge \gamma > 0. \tag{4.111}$$

Remark 4.2. In order to establish convergence properties for $\mathcal{N}_n$ it is convenient to consider its extension to fixed domains. So we consider the standard extension operator $E : H^1(\Omega_0) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $Ef = f$ a. e. in Ω_0, $\|\nabla(Ef)\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3} \le \overline{C}\|\nabla f\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0}$, $\|Ef\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3} \le \overline{C}\|f\|^2_{0,2,\Omega_0}$ and $Ef \ge 0$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as $f \ge 0$ a. e. in Ω_0; the constant $\overline{C}$ depends only on ∂K_0 and $\partial\mathcal{O}$ (see Chapter 5 in [17]). Then, from (4.109) and (4.68), we have

$$\operatorname*{ess\ sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,t)|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3} + \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3} d\tau < 4\overline{C}L_2^2, \tag{4.112}$$

where $\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},t) = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^*_{i,n}(X_n(\mathbf{x},t,0),t)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^*_{i,n} = E\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{i,n}$.

5 Convergence Results

As a consequence of the bounds (4.109), (4.110) and (4.112), there exist subsequences $\{\mathbf{u}_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{\mu_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{n_k} \to& \mathbf{u} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\mathcal{O}))^3, \\ \mu_{n_k} \to& \mu \quad \text{weakly}* \text{ in} \quad L^\infty((0,T)\times D), \\ \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n_k} \to& \mathcal{N}^*_i \text{ weakly in} \quad L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{O})), \end{aligned} \tag{5.113}$$

for some $(\mathbf{u}, \mu, \mathcal{N}^*_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}^*_J)$. From the compactness results for linear transport equations of Di Perna-Lions (see [16]), there exists a subsequence $\{\mu_{n_{k_j}}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\mu_{n_{k_j}} \to \mu \quad \text{strongly in} \quad C([0,T];L^p(D)), \tag{5.114}$$

for all $1 \le p < +\infty$. Moreover, from (4.109) we can show that $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))^3$ and $\mathcal{N}_i^* \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}))$, using a uniqueness argument. For simplicity we denote $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mu_n, \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*)$ instead $(\mathbf{u}_{n_{k_j}}, \mu_{n_{k_j}}, \mathcal{N}_{i,n_{k_j}}^*)$.

It is a routine to check that $(\mu, \mathbf{u})$ satisfies (3.35) and (3.36) and $\mathbf{u}$ satisfies (4.109). In particular, from (4.111), this implies that (2.2) is valid for the domains K_t and Ω_t that correspond to $\mathbf{u}$. Below we establish some compactness results.

Lemma 5.1. *For all $h > 0$ sufficiently small,*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mu_n |\mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}, t+h) - \mathbf{u}_n(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 dt d\mathbf{x} \le C h^{2/5}, \tag{5.115}$$

where $C = C(T, L_2, L_1, \mathsf{B}_*, K_0, e, \mathcal{O}, Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ and we have extended $\mathbf{u}_n(.,\tau), \mu_n(.,\tau)$ to be zero if $\tau > T$.

Proof. Let us to denote $\mathbf{w}_n(., t, h) = \mathbf{u}_n(., t+h) - \mathbf{u}_n(., t)$ for all $t \ge 0$. As a consequence of (4.111) and considering the construction and notation introduced in [15], it is possible to find $\Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n(.,\tau)) \in S_n(\tau)$, $\forall \tau \in [t, t+h]$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{w}_n - \Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)\|_{0,p,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt \le C_p \beta^{1-s} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{w}_n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt, \\ \int_0^T \|\nabla \Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)\|_{0,p,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt \le C \beta^{-s} \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{w}_n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt, \end{aligned} \tag{5.116}$$

for $s = 3(1/2 - 1/p)$ if $p \in [2, \infty)$, $s = 3/2$ if $p = \infty$.

If we extend $\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}(.,\tau)$, $\mathcal{N}_{i,n}(.,\tau)$, $\psi_n(.,\tau)$ and $\Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)(.,\tau)$ to be zero if $\tau > T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \cdot \Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)(\mathbf{x}, t) d\tau d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\le C(e, \mathcal{O}) \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i| \int_0^T \|\nabla \Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)(., t)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}} \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}||\nabla \psi_n|)(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau d\mathbf{x} dt \\
&\le C(e, \mathcal{O}) \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i| \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \Pi_\beta(\mathbf{w}_n)\|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \times \\
&\times \left(\int_0^T \left[\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathcal{O}} (|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}||\nabla \psi_n|)(\mathbf{x}, \tau) d\tau d\mathbf{x} \right]^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\le C(e, \mathcal{O}, L_1) \beta^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^J |Z_i| \left(\int_0^T \left[\int_t^{t+h} \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}\|_{0,2,\Omega_{n,\tau}}^2 d\tau \right] \left[\int_t^{t+h} \|\nabla \psi_n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau \right] dt \right)^{1/2} \\
&\le C(e, \mathcal{O}, L_1, Z_1, \dots, Z_J, L_2, \mathsf{B}_*, T) \beta^{-3/2} h \le C h^{2/5}
\end{aligned}$$

choosing $\beta = h^{2/5}$; where we have used the Schwarz's and Poincaré's inequalities, (5.116), (4.109) and (4.110). As a consequence, following the steps of the proof of Lemma 4.1 in the reference [15] (see also [13]) we obtain the inequality (5.115). $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *For all $h > 0$ sufficiently small and each $i \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$,*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}} |\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t+h) - \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 d\mathbf{x}dt \leq Ch^{1/8}, \tag{5.117}$$

where $C = C(d_1, \ldots, d_n, L_2, L_1, \overline{C}, T, \kappa_B, \theta, e, Z_1, \ldots, Z_J, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B}_*)$ and we have defined $\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}}(., \tau)$, $\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(., \tau)$ to be zero if $\tau > T$.

Proof. Following [13], we have a scalar version of (5.116). More precisely, (5.116) is valid for functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In this case, $\Pi_\beta(f) = f * \eta_\beta$, where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \eta d\mathbf{x} = 1$ and, for each $\beta > 0$, $\eta_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \beta^{-2}\eta(\beta^{-1}\mathbf{x})$.

Let us consider $i \in \{1, \ldots, J\}$ fixed and denote

$$\mathcal{W}_{i,n}(., t, h) = \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(., t+h) - \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(., t).$$

Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we bound by

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{W}^2_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t, h) d\mathbf{x}dt \leq P_1 + P_2 + P_3,$$

where,

$$P_1 := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t+h}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}})(\mathbf{x})(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})^2(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}dt,$$
$$P_2 := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t+h}})(\mathbf{x})(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})^2(\mathbf{x}, t+h) d\mathbf{x}dt,$$
$$P_3 := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t+h}}(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t+h) - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t+h}}(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathcal{W}_{i,n}(\mathbf{x}, t, h)) d\mathbf{x}dt.$$

Using (4.112) and Lemma 1 of the reference [13], we have

$$P_1 \leq C\beta^{1/4} + C\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t+h}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}})(\mathbf{x})(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))^2(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}dt \right|, \tag{5.118}$$

where $C = C(L_2, \overline{C}, T)$. Now, extending $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)$ to be zero in $\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, we see that $\mathcal{I}_n(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the solution of the transport problem

$$\partial_t \mathcal{I}_n + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)) = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}_n(., 0) = \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_0}.$$

in $\mathcal{D}'((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, using (5.118), we have

$$P_1 \leq C\beta^{1/4} + C\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_t^{t+h} \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n))(\mathbf{x}, \tau)(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))^2(\mathbf{x}, t) d\tau d\mathbf{x}dt \right|,$$
$$\leq C\beta^{1/4} +$$
$$+ C\int_0^T \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\mathcal{I}_n \mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n))(\mathbf{x}, \tau)||(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x}, t)||\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x}, t)| d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt.$$

Now, from Hölder's inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem, (4.64), (4.109), (5.116) and (4.112), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n))(\mathbf{x},\tau)||(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t)||\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&\leq \int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n))(\mathbf{x},\tau)||(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})-\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)||\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt+\\
&+\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n))(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},t)||\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&\leq \int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(.,\tau)\|_{0,6,\mathbb{R}^3}\|\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(.,t)\|_{0,3,\mathbb{R}^3}\times\\
&\times\|(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})-\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3}d\tau dt+\\
&+\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3}\|\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(.,t)\|_{0,3,\mathbb{R}^3}\|\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,t)\|_{0,6,\mathbb{R}^3}d\tau dt\\
&\leq Ch^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\|\mathbf{u}_n(.,\tau)\|^2_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\|\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(.,t)\|^2_{0,3,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}\times\\
&\times\left(\int_0^T\|(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})-\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}+\\
&+Ch\left(\int_0^T\|\nabla(\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}))(.,t)\|^2_{0,3,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\|\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,t)\|^2_{0,6,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}\\
&\leq Ch^{1/2}\beta^{3/4}+Ch\beta^{-1/4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C = C(L_2, L_1, T, \overline{C})$. As a consequence,

$$
P_1 \leq C(\beta^{1/4}+h^{1/2}\beta^{3/4}+h\beta^{-1/4}), \tag{5.119}
$$

A similar estimate can be obtained for P_2. Now, an elementary calculation show us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_3\leq\\
&\leq\left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}((\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t+h)-(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t))(\mathcal{W}_{i,n}-\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t,h)d\mathbf{x}dt\right|+\\
&+\left|\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}((\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t+h)-(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t))\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t,h)d\mathbf{x}dt\right|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Denoting the first an the second terms in the right side above by P_3' and P_3'', respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_3' &\leq C\beta^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T\|\nabla\mathcal{W}_{i,n}\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}\times\\
&\times\left(\int_0^T\|(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(.,t+h)-(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathbb{R}^3}dt\right)^{1/2}\\
&\leq C(L_2,T,\overline{C})\beta^{1/2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.120}
$$

using Schwarz inequality and (4.112). In order to estimate P_3'', for $t\in[0,T]$ fixed, we take $\varsigma_i(\mathbf{x},\tau)=\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)$, $(\mathbf{x},\tau)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$ as a test function in (3.38). Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\ \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n}))(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x} + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)\ (\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau +\\
&+ d_i \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \left(\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n} + \frac{eZ_i}{\kappa_B\theta}\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\nabla[\psi_n]^n\right)(\mathbf{x},\tau)\cdot\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x}d\tau\\
&= \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{N}_{i,0,n}(\mathbf{x})\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t+h)\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x} +\\
&+ \int_0^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)\ (\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau +\\
&+ d_i \int_0^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \left(\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n} + \frac{eZ_i}{\kappa_B\theta}\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\nabla[\psi_n]^n\right)(\mathbf{x},\tau)\cdot\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x}d\tau\\
&= \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{N}_{i,0,n}(\mathbf{x})\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have extended $[\psi_n]^n(.,\tau)$ to be zero if $\tau > T$. Subtracting the above expressions, we obtain, after some calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_t^{t+h} \partial_\tau(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},\tau)\ \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\tau d\mathbf{x} +\\
&+ \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)\ (\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},\tau)d\mathbf{x}d\tau +\\
&+ d_i \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \left(\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n} + \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\nabla[\psi_n]^n\right)(\mathbf{x},\tau)\cdot\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\mathbf{x}d\tau = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3'' &= \left|\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_t^{t+h} \partial_\tau(\mathcal{I}_n\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},\tau)\ \Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)d\tau d\mathbf{x}dt\right|\\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} |\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)||\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt +\\
&+ d_i \int_0^T \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} |\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&+ d_i \frac{e|Z_i|}{\kappa_B\theta} \int_0^T \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla[\psi_n]^n(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt.
\end{aligned}$$

From Hölder's and Poincaré's inequalities, (5.116), (4.112), (4.109) and (4.64) we obtain the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}|\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)||\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&\le \int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\|\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(.,t)\|_{0,\infty,\mathbb{R}^3}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,\tau)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau dt\\
&\le C(L_2,\overline{C})\int_0^T\left(\int_t^{t+h}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)(.,\tau)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_t^{t+h}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,\tau)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}dt\\
&\le C(L_2,\overline{C},L_1,T)h^{1/2}\beta^{-3/4},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.121}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}|\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&\le \int_0^T\left(\int_t^{t+h}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}\times\\
&\times\left(\int_t^{t+h}\|\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}dt\\
&\le C(L_2,\overline{C},T)\int_0^T\left(\int_t^{t+h}\|\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2}dt\\
&\le C(L_2,\overline{C},T)h^{1/2},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.122}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_t^{t+h}\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}|\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla[\psi_n]^n(\mathbf{x},\tau)||\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(\mathbf{x},t)|d\mathbf{x}d\tau dt\\
&\le \int_0^T\|\nabla\Pi_\beta(\mathcal{W}_{i,n})(.,t)\|_{0,\infty,\mathbb{R}^3}\int_t^{t+h}\|\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|\nabla[\psi_n]^n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau dt\\
&\le C(L_2,\mathsf{B}_*,\mathcal{O})\beta^{-3/4}h.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.123}$$

Choosing $\beta=h^{1/2}$ and using (5.119)-(5.123) we obtain the result. □

From Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and from Frechét-Kolmogorov Theorem (see Theorem IV.25 in [6]), up to a extraction of a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u}_n &\to\mathbf{u}\quad\text{strongly in}\quad L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))^3,\\
\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n} &\to\mathcal{N}^*_i\text{ strongly in}\quad L^2(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)),\quad\forall i\in\{1,\dots,J\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.124}$$

In particular this implies that $\mathcal{N}^*_i\ge 0$ a. e. in $\mathbb{R}^3$, as it is easy to check. Now, let us consider the problem (3.37) with the data $(K_t,\Omega_t,\mathcal{N}^*_1,\dots,\mathcal{N}^*_J,\rho,\Psi)$. From Lemma 4.2 this problem has a unique solution $\psi(.,t)\in H^1(\mathcal{O})$ that satisfies the bound (4.73). As a consequence we can prove the following convergence result for $\psi_n(.,t)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let consider (ψ_n,ψ) the solutions of the problem (3.37) corresponding to $(K_{n,t},\Omega_{n,t},\mathcal{N}^*_{1,n},\dots,\mathcal{N}^*_{J,n},\rho_n,\Psi_n)$ and $(K_t,\Omega_t,\mathcal{N}^*_1,\dots,\mathcal{N}^*_J,\rho,\Psi)$, respectively. Then,*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla(\psi_n-\psi)|^2d\mathbf{x}dt\to 0,\quad n\to\infty.\tag{5.125}$$

Proof. First we consider the standard extension operator

$$E_O : H^1(\partial\mathcal{O}) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}),$$

i.e., $E_{\mathcal{O}}(f)|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = f$ and $\|E_{\mathcal{O}}(f)\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq C_{\mathcal{O}}\|f\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}$.

Then, defining $\widehat{\Psi} = E_{\mathcal{O}}\Psi$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_n = E_{\mathcal{O}}\Psi_n$ we have from (4.65),

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}_n\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}} \leq C_{\mathcal{O}}\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}}. \tag{5.126}$$

Furthermore, it is clear that if $\Upsilon_n := \widehat{\Psi}_n - \widehat{\Psi}$,

$$\|\Upsilon_n\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{5.127}$$

Note that $\psi_n - \widehat{\Psi}_n + \widehat{\Psi} \in \mathbb{L}_\Psi$; then, from (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla(\psi - \psi_n - \widehat{\Psi} + \widehat{\Psi}_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&- 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left(\int_{\Omega_t} Z_i\mathcal{N}_i^*(\psi - \psi_n - \widehat{\Psi} + \widehat{\Psi}_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&- 4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \rho(\psi - \psi_n - \widehat{\Psi} + \widehat{\Psi}_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.128}$$

Similarly, observing that $\psi - \widehat{\Psi} + \widehat{\Psi}_n \in \mathbb{L}_{\Psi_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa_n\nabla\psi_n \cdot \nabla(\psi_n - \psi - \widehat{\Psi}_n + \widehat{\Psi})d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&- 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left(\int_{\Omega_{n,t}} Z_i\ \mathrm{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*)\ (\psi_n - \psi - \widehat{\Psi}_n + \widehat{\Psi})d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&- 4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \rho_n(\psi_n - \psi - \widehat{\Psi}_n + \widehat{\Psi})d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5.129}$$

Let us set $A_{n,t} = K_{n,t} \cap K_t$, $B_{n,t} = \Omega_{n,t} \cap \Omega_t$ and $f_n = \psi - \psi_n$. Then, summing (5.128) with (5.129), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa_n|\nabla f_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) = \\
&-\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\kappa - \kappa_n)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla f_n d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) - \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\kappa - \kappa_n)\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\Upsilon_n d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&-\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \kappa_n\nabla f_n \cdot \nabla\Upsilon_n d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left(\int_{B_{n,t}} Z_i(\mathcal{N}_i^* - \mathrm{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*))(f_n + \Upsilon_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&+ 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left(\int_{K_{n,t}\setminus A_{n,t}} Z_i\mathcal{N}_i^*(f_n + \Upsilon_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)+ \\
&- 4\pi e\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left(\int_{K_t\setminus A_{n,t}} Z_i\mathrm{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*)(f_n + \Upsilon_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + 4\pi\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\rho - \rho_n)(f_n + \Upsilon_n)d\mathbf{x}\right)(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla f_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) \leq \\
&\leq C(|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|\|\nabla\psi(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}}(\|\nabla f_n(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\|\nabla\Upsilon_n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}})+\\
&+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|\|\nabla\psi(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_t\backslash A_{n,t}}(\|\nabla f_n(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\|\nabla\Upsilon_n\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}})+\\
&+\|\nabla\Upsilon_n\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\sum_{i=1}^{J}\|(\mathcal{N}_i^*-\mathfrak{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*))(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|(f_n+\Upsilon_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{J}(\|\mathfrak{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*)(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_t\backslash A_{n,t}}+\|\mathcal{N}_i^*(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}})\|(f_n+\Upsilon_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\\
&+\|(\rho-\rho_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,A_{n,t}}\|(f_n+\Upsilon_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\\
&+(\|\rho_n(.,t)\|_{0,3/2,K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}}+\|\rho(.,t)\|_{0,3/2,K_t\backslash A_{n,t}})(\|(f_n+\Upsilon_n)(.,t)\|_{0,3,\mathcal{O}})),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C = C(Z_1,\ldots,Z_J,e,\kappa_1,\kappa_2)$. Using the Poincaré's and Hölder's inequalities, the Sobolev embedding, (4.110) and (5.126) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla f_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) \leq C(\mathsf{B}_*+\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}})(|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\mathsf{B}_*+|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\mathsf{B}_*+\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{J}\|(\mathcal{N}_i^*-\mathfrak{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*))(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}+\sum_{i=1}^{J}|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\|\mathcal{N}_i^*(.,t)\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}+\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{J}|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\|\mathfrak{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*)(.,t)\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}+\\
&+\|(\rho-\rho_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,A_{n,t}}+|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\|\rho(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_t}+\\
&+|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}\|\rho_n(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_{n,t}})+C\|\nabla\Upsilon_n\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.130}
$$

where $C = C(\mathcal{O},Z_1,\ldots,Z_J,e,\kappa_1,\kappa_2)$. Then, integrating (5.130) in $(0,T)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}}|\nabla f_n|^2 d\mathbf{x}d\tau \leq\\
&\leq C(\mathsf{B}_*+\|\Psi\|_{1,2,\partial\mathcal{O}})(\mathsf{B}_*+\|\rho_0\|_{0,2,K_0})\int_0^T(|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4}+|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/4})dt+\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{J}\int_0^T\|(\mathcal{N}_i^*-\mathfrak{r}_n(\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*))(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}dt+\sum_{i=1}^{J}L_2^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/2}dt\right)^{1/2}+\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{J}L_2^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/2}dt\right)^{1/2}+\int_0^T\|(\rho-\rho_n)(.,t)\|_{0,2,A_{n,t}}dt+\\
&+CT\|\nabla\Upsilon_n\|^2_{0,2,\mathcal{O}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.131}
$$

using Schwarz's inequality, (2.5), (4.112) and (4.60). Now, denoting by $Q(t), Q_n(t)$ the affine isometries such that $K_t = Q(t)K_0$, $K_{n,t} = Q_n(t)K_0$, we have

$$
\|Q_n(.)-Q(.)\|_{0,\infty,(0,T)} \to 0, \quad n\to\infty \tag{5.132}
$$

using (5.124) (see [14]). As a consequence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(\rho-\rho_n)(.,t)\|^2_{0,2,A_{n,t}} \le \int_{K_0} (\rho(Q_n(t)\xi,t)-\rho_n(Q_n(t)\xi,t))^2 d\xi\\
&= \int_{K_0} (\rho_0(Q^{-1}(t)Q_n(t)\xi,t)-\rho_{0,n}(\xi))^2 d\xi\\
&\le 2\int_{K_0} (\rho_0(Q^{-1}(t)Q_n(t)\xi)-\rho_0(Q^{-1}(t)Q(t)\xi))^2 d\xi +\\
&+2\int_{K_0} (\rho_0(\xi)-\rho_{0,n}(\xi))^2 d\xi \to 0, \ \ n\to\infty,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.133}
$$

using L^2-continuity and the strong convergence $\|\rho_0-\rho_{0,n}\|_{0,2,K_0}\to 0$, $n\to\infty$.

Moreover, from (5.132), we have $|K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|\to 0$, $|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. Then (5.125) follows from (5.131), (5.133), (5.124), (5.127) and the dominated convergence theorem. □

Lemma 5.4. *For each $i\in\{1,\dots,J\}$, the function $\mathcal{N}_i^*$, satisfies (3.38), considering $\mathbf{u}$ and ψ given in (5.124) and Lemma 5.3, respectively.*

Proof. For each $\varsigma\in H^1(\widehat{\Omega})$, we consider its extension $\widetilde{\varsigma}\in H^1(\mathcal{O}\times(0,T))$ and a sequence $\widetilde{\varsigma}_n\in C^{2+\alpha,1}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}\times[0,T])$ such that

$$\|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n-\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}\to 0,\quad n\to\infty. \tag{5.134}$$

Let us set $\varsigma_n := \widetilde{\varsigma}_n|_{\widehat{\Omega}_{n,T}}$, where $\widehat{\Omega}_{n,T}=\bigcup_{t\in(0,T)}\{t\}\times\Omega_{n,t}$ and, as before, $\Omega_{n,t}$ denote the fluid domains related to $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)$. We want to pass to the limit in

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\Omega_{n,t}} \mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\varsigma_n d\mathbf{x}\right)(t) + \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \varsigma_n\,(\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}) d\mathbf{x}d\tau +\\
&+d_i\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}\left(\nabla\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}+\frac{Z_i e}{\theta\kappa_B}\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\nabla[\psi_n]^n\right)\cdot\nabla\varsigma_n\, d\mathbf{x}d\tau +\\
&-\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}}\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}\,\partial_t\varsigma_n\,d\mathbf{x}\,d\tau = \left(\int_{\Omega_0}\mathcal{N}_{i,0,n}\,\varsigma_{0,n}d\mathbf{x}\right)(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for a. e. $t\in(0,T)$.

First we observe that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega_0}\mathcal{N}_{i,0,n}\,\varsigma_{0,n}d\mathbf{x}-\int_{\Omega_0}\mathcal{N}_{i,0}\,\varsigma_0 d\mathbf{x}\right|\to 0,\ \ n\to\infty, \tag{5.135}$$

follows directly from (5.134) and from the strong convergence $\mathcal{N}_{i,0,n}\to\mathcal{N}_{i,0}$ in $L^2(\Omega_0)$.

Now, from (5.124), up to a extraction of a subsequence, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^*_{i,n}(.,t)\to\mathcal{N}^*_i(.,t)\ \text{ in }\ L^2(\mathcal{O}),$$

a. e. $t\in(0,T)$, $\forall i\in\{1,\dots,J\}$. Then, using the notation of the Lemma 5.3

and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\Omega_{n,t}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}\varsigma_n d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\Omega_t} \mathcal{N}_i^{*}\varsigma d\mathbf{x}\right| \leq \int_{B_{n,t}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*} - \mathcal{N}_i^{*}||\varsigma_n| d\mathbf{x} + \int_{B_{n,t}} |\varsigma_n - \varsigma||\mathcal{N}_i^{*}| d\mathbf{x} + \\
&+ \int_{K_t \backslash A_{n,t}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}\varsigma_n| d\mathbf{x} + \int_{K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}} |\mathcal{N}_i^{*}\varsigma| d\mathbf{x} \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}(.,t) - \mathcal{N}_i^{*}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \|\mathcal{N}_i^{*}(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|(\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma})(.,t)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} + \\
&+ |K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/6} \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_t} \|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n(.,t)\|_{0,3,\mathcal{O}} + \\
&+ |K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/6} \|\mathcal{N}_i^{*}(.,t)\|_{0,2,K_{n,t}} \|\widetilde{\varsigma}(.,t)\|_{0,3,\mathcal{O}} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.136}$$

for a. e. $t \in (0,T)$. Indeed, from (5.134), $\|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \leq \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}$ for n sufficiently large. As a consequence, using (5.124) and (5.134) the first two terms above tends to zero as $n \to \infty$. As in Lemma 5.3, $|K_t\backslash A_{n,t}|, |K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}| \to 0$, $n \to \infty$; then from Sobolev embedding and (4.112), we obtain the convergence of the third and fourth terms above.

From (5.113), we have

$$\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*} \to \nabla \mathcal{N}_i^{*} \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^2((0,T)\times\mathcal{O})^3, \tag{5.137}$$

$\forall i \in \{1,\dots,J\}$. For a. e. $t \in (0,T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \varsigma_n \, (\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}) d\mathbf{x} d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\tau} \varsigma \, (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_i^{*}) d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} |\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}||\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma}||\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)| d\mathbf{x} d\tau + \\
&+ \left|\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*} d\mathbf{x} d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\tau} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_i^{*} d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| + \\
&+ \left|\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\, \mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*} d\mathbf{x} d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\, \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*} d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| \to 0, \; n \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.138}$$

In fact, Hölder and Poincaré inequality, Sobolev embedding, (4.109), (4.112), (5.134) and (4.64) furnish us with the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} |\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}||\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma}||\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)| d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{0,3,\mathcal{O}} \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\|_{0,6,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^{*}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T,L_1,L_2,\overline{C})\|\widetilde{\varsigma}_n - \widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* d\mathbf{x} d\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_\tau} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{N}_i^* d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \left|\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}_{(0,t)} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}) \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| + \\
&+ \left|\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}_{(0,t)} \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* - \nabla \mathcal{N}_i^*) d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term in the right-hand side above tends to zero as $n \to \infty$, using (5.137) and the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{(0,t)} \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathcal{O} \times (0,T))^3$. From (5.132), $\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_t} \to 0$ a. e. in $\mathcal{O} \times (0,T)$, with $n \to \infty$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{I}_{(0,t)} (\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}) \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* d\mathbf{x} d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}\|_{0,8,\mathcal{O}} \|\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \int_0^T \|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}\|_{0,8,\mathcal{O}} \|\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}}^2 \|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}\|_{0,8,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}}^2 \|\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} - \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_\tau}\|_{0,8,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C = C(\mathcal{O}, T)$ and we have used the dominated convergence theorem.

Also, from Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding, (4.112), (4.109) and (5.124),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* d\mathbf{x}d\tau - \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \widetilde{\varsigma}\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* d\mathbf{x}d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\widetilde{\varsigma}||\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}||\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|d\mathbf{x}d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\,\widetilde{\varsigma}|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}|^{1/2}|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|^{1/2}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\,\|\,|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}|^{1/2}|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|^{1/2}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{0,6,\mathcal{O}}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{0,6,\mathcal{O}}^{1/2}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}\|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^{1/2}d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}^{2/3}\|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^{4/3}d\tau\right)^{3/4}\times \\
&\times\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/4} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/4}\times \\
&\times\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/4}\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T,L_2,L_1,\overline{C})\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{R}_n(\mathbf{u}_n)-\mathbf{u}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/8}\to 0,\ \ n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

The convergences

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \nabla\mathcal{N}_n^*\cdot\nabla\varsigma_n\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau - \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\nabla\mathcal{N}^*\cdot\nabla\varsigma\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau\right|\to 0, \\
&\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\ \partial_t\varsigma_n\ d\mathbf{x} - \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\mathcal{N}_i^*\ \partial_t\varsigma\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau\right|\to 0,\ \ n\to\infty
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.139}
$$

for a. e. $t\in(0,T)$, follows from analogous arguments.

Now, for a. e. $t\in(0,T)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^t\int_{\Omega_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\nabla[\psi_n]^n\cdot\nabla\varsigma_n\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau - \int_0^t\int_{\Omega_\tau}\mathcal{N}_i^*\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla\varsigma\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \int_0^T\int_{B_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|\nabla[\psi_n]^n||\nabla\varsigma_n-\nabla\varsigma|d\mathbf{x}d\tau + \int_0^T\int_{B_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|\nabla[\psi_n]^n-\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma|d\mathbf{x}d\tau+ \\
&+\int_0^T\int_{B_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*-\mathcal{N}_i^*||\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma|d\mathbf{x}d\tau+ \\
&+\int_0^T\int_{K_\tau\setminus A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*||\nabla[\psi_n]^n||\nabla\varsigma_n|\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau+ \\
&+\int_0^T\int_{K_{n,\tau}\setminus A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_i^*||\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma|\ d\mathbf{x}d\tau\to 0,\ \ n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.140}
$$

In fact, from Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding, (4.62), (4.110), (4.112) and (5.134) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{B_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*||\nabla[\psi_n]^n||\nabla\varsigma_n - \nabla\varsigma| d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \|\nabla\widetilde{\varsigma_n} - \nabla\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}} \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,4,\mathcal{O}} \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n\|_{0,4,\Omega_{n,\tau}} d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma_n} - \widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \times \\
&\times \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 dt\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n\|_{0,4,\Omega_{n,\tau}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},\mathsf{B}_*,L_2,\overline{C},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma_n} - \widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \to 0, \ \ n \to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{B_{n,\tau}} \mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* |\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma| d\mathbf{x} d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left(\int_{B_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|^2 |\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla\widetilde{\varsigma}|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)^{1/2} d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \int_0^T \left(\int_{B_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*|^{8/3} |\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)^{3/8} \times \\
&\times \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} |\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi|^2 d\mathbf{x}\right)^{1/8} d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \times \\
&\times \int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{0,16/3,\mathcal{O}} \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi\|_{0,4,B_{n,\tau}}^{3/4} \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^{1/4} d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/2} \times \\
&\times \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{3/8} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi\|_{0,4,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{1/8} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T,\mathsf{B}_*,L_2)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)} \left(\int_0^T \|\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}^2 d\tau\right)^{3/8} \to 0, \ \ n \to \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.141}$$

Analogously,

$$\int_0^T \int_{B_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^* - \mathcal{N}_i^*||\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma| d\mathbf{x} d\tau \to 0, \ \ n \to \infty.$$

Finally, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{K_{n,\tau}\backslash A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_i^*||\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma|\; d\mathbf{x}d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T |K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/12}\|\mathcal{N}_i^*\|_{0,6,\mathcal{O}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{0,4,K_{n,\tau}}\|\nabla\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{0,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T,\mathsf{B}_*)\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}\left(\int_0^T |K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/6}dt\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^T \|\mathcal{N}_i^*\|^2_{1,2,\mathcal{O}}d\tau\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C(\mathcal{O},T,\mathsf{B}_*,L_2,\overline{C})\|\widetilde{\varsigma}\|_{1,2,\mathcal{O}\times(0,T)}\left(\int_0^T |K_{n,t}\backslash A_{n,t}|^{1/6}dt\right)^{1/2} \to 0, \quad n\to\infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.142}$$

using the dominated convergence theorem. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{K_\tau\backslash A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*||\nabla[\psi_n]^n||\nabla\varsigma_n|\; d\mathbf{x}d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^T \int_{K_\tau\backslash A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*||\nabla[\psi_n]^n - \nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma_n|\; d\mathbf{x}d\tau + \\
&+ \int_0^T \int_{K_\tau\backslash A_{n,\tau}} |\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*||\nabla\psi||\nabla\varsigma_n|\; d\mathbf{x}d\tau \to 0, \;\; n\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

using similar arguments as in (5.142) and (5.141). The result follows from (5.135), (5.136), (5.138), (5.139) and (5.140). ☐

Lemma 5.5. *The function* $\mathbf{u}$ *satisfies (3.34), considering* ψ, $\mathcal{N}_i$ *and* μ *given in (5.3), (5.124) and (5.114), respectively.*

Proof. The proof follows from (5.124) and from the arguments in [15], observing that: given $\mathbf{w}\in\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathbf{w}_n\in\mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\int_0^T \|\mathbf{w}_n-\mathbf{w}\|^2_{1,2,D}d\tau\to 0$ with $n\to\infty$, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{F}_n\cdot\mathbf{w}_n d\tau - \int_0^T \mathbf{F}\cdot\mathbf{w}d\tau \to 0, \quad n\to\infty$$

for $\mathbf{F}_n = -e\sum_{i=1}^J \mathcal{I}_{\Omega_{n,t}}Z_i\mathcal{N}_{i,n}^*\nabla\psi_n$, $\mathbf{F} = -e\sum_{i=1}^J Z_i\mathcal{I}_{\Omega_t}\mathcal{N}_i^*\nabla\psi$; this follows from analogous estimates as in Lemma 5.4. ☐

We have obtained $T>0$ and a weak solution for the problem in $(0,T)$. From the bound (4.109), $Q(t)$ is continuous in $[0,T]$ (see [14]), so that

$$\lim_{t\to T^-}\operatorname{dist}(K_t,\partial\mathcal{O})\geq\gamma>0$$

and we can iterate the existence result in order to obtain Theorem 3.1.

References

[1] Allison, S. A., Chen, C. and Stigter, D., *The Length Dependence of Translational Diffusion, Free Solution Electrophoretic Mobility, and Electrophoretic Tether Force of Rigid Rod-Like Model Duplex DNA*. Biophys. J. **81** (2001), 2558–2568.

[2] Allison, S. A. and Stigter, D., *A Commentary on the Screened-Oseen, Counterion-Condensation Formalism of Polyion Electrophoresis.* Biophys. J. **78**(1) (2000), 121-124.

[3] Anderson, J. L., *Colloidal Transport by Interfacial Forces.* Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. **21** (1989), 61–99.

[4] Bedin, L. and Thompson, M., *Motion of a Charged Particle in Ionized Fluids.* Math. Models & Meth. Appl. Sci. **16**(8) (2006), 1271–1318.

[5] Bedin, L. and Thompson, M., *Weak Solutions for the Electrophoretic Motion of Charged Particles.* Comp. & App. Math. **25**(1) (2006), 1–26.

[6] Brézis, H., *Análisis funcional: Teoría y aplicaciones.* Madrid: Alianza Editorial, 1984.

[7] Cheng, W. L., He, Y. Y. and Lee, E., *Electrophoresis of a soft particle normal to a plane.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **335**(1) (2009), 130–139.

[8] Choi, Y. S. and Kim, S. J., *Electrokinetic flow-induced currents in silica nanofluidic channels.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **333** (2009), 672-678.

[9] Colton, D. and Kress, R., *Integral Equation Methods is Scattering Theory.* Krieger, 1992.

[10] Costabel, M., *Boundary integral operators on Lipschitz domains: elementary results.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **19** (1988), 613-626.

[11] Cortis, C. M. and Friesner, R. A.: *An automatic three-dimensional finit element mesh generation system for the Poisson-Boltzmann equation.* J. Comp. Chem. **18**(13) (1997), 1570–1590.

[12] Daune, M., *Molecular Biophysics: Structures in Motion.* Oxford University Press, 1999.

[13] Desjardins, B., *Weak Solutions of the Compressible Isentropic Navier-Stokes Equations.* App. Math. Letters **12** (1999), 107–111.

[14] Desjardins, B. and Esteban, M. J., *Existence of Weak Solutions for the Motion of Rigid Bodies in a Viscous Fluid.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **146** (1999), 59–71.

[15] Desjardins, B. and Esteban, M. J., *On Weak Solutions for Fluid-Rigid Structure Interaction: Compressible and Incompressible Models.* Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **25** (2000), 1399–1414.

[16] Di Perna, J. L. and Lions, P., *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces.* Invent. Math. **98** (1989), 511–547.

[17] Evans, L. C., *Partial Differential Equation.* AMS, 1998.

[18] Feireisl, E., *On the Motion of Rigid Bodies in a Viscous Incompressible Fluid.* J. Evol. Equ. **3** (2003), 419–441.

[19] Fixman, M., *Charged macromolecules in external fields I. The sphere.* J. Chem. Phys. **72**(9) (1980), 5177–5186.

[20] Fu, L. M., Yang, R. J. and Lee, G. B., *Analysis of geometry effects on band spreading of microchip electrophoresis.* Electrophoresis **23** (2002), 602–612.

[21] Gilbarg, D. and Trudinger, N. S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order.* Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[22] Hsiao, G. C. and Wendland, W. L., *Boundary Integral Equations.* Springer, 2008.

[23] Keh, H. J. and Anderson, J. L., *Boundary Effects on Electrophoretic Motion of Colloidal Spheres.* J. Fluid Mech. **153** (1985), 417–439.

[24] Kim, J. Y. and Yoon, B. J., *Electrophoretic Motion of a Slightly Deformed Sphere with a Nonuniform Zeta Potential Distribution.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **251** (2002), 318–330.

[25] Ladyženskaja, O. A., Solonnikov, V. A. and Ural'ceva, N. N., *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type.* AMS, 1988.

[26] Ladyženskaja, O. A. and Ural'ceva, N. N., *Linear and Quasi-Linear Elliptic Equations.* Academic Press, 1968.

[27] Lu, B., Zhou, Y. C., Huber, G. A., Bond, S. D., Holst, M. J. and McCannon, J. A., *Electrodiffusion: A continuum modeling framework for biomolecular systems with realistic spatiotemporal resolution.* J. Chem. Phys. **127** (2007), 1–17.

[28] Nakamura, H., *Roles of electrostatic interaction in proteins.* Quart. Rev. Biophys. **29**I (1996), 1–90.

[29] Necǎs, J., *Les Méthodes Directes en Théorie des Équations Elliptiques.* Masson, 1967.

[30] Park, H. M., Lee, J. S. and Kim, T. W., *Comparison of the Nernst-Planck model and the Poisson-Boltzmann model for electroosmotic flows in microchannels.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **315** (2007), 731–739.

[31] Quarteroni, A. and Valli, A., *Numerical Approximation of Partial Differential Equations.* Springer, 1997.

[32] Qian, S., Wang, A. and Afonien, J. K., *Electrophoretic motion of a spherical particle in a converging-diverging nanotube.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **303** (2006), 579–592.

[33] Reiner, S. E. and Radke, C. J., *Variational Approach to the Electrostatic Free Energy in Charged Colloidal Suspensions: General Theory for Open Systems.* J. Chem. Faraday Trans. **86**(23) (1990), 3901–3912.

[34] Russel, W. B., Saville, D. A. and Schowalter, W. R., *Colloidal Dispersions.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[35] Sellier, A., *A Note on the Electrophoresis of a Uniformly Charged Particle.* Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math. **55**(4) (2002), 561–572.

[36] Schmuck, M., *Analysis of the Navier-Stokes-Nernst-Planck-Poisson System.* Math. Models & Meth. Appl. Sci. **19**(6) (2009), 993–1015.

[37] Shugai, A. A. and Carnie, S. L., *Electrophoretic Motion of a Spherical Particle with a Thick Double layer in Bounded Flows.* J. Colloid Interface Sci. **213**(6) (1999), 298–315.

[38] Solomentsev, Y. and Anderson, J. L., *Electrophoresis of slender particles.* J. Fluid Mech. **279** (1994), 197–215.

[39] Solonnikov, V. A., *Unsteady Motion of a Finite Mass of Fluid Bounded by a Free Surface.* J. Soviet Math. **40** (1988), 672–686.

[40] Torres, R. H. and Welland, G. V., *The Helmholtz equation and transmission problems with Lipschitz interfaces.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 1457-1485.

[41] Teubner, M., *The Motion of Charged Particles in Electrical Fields.* J. Chem. Phys. **76**(11) (1982), 5564–5573.

[42] Venema, P., *The viscous flow of charged particles through a charged cylindrical tube.* J. Fluid Mech. **282** (1995), 45–73.